

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2026  
(Arising out of SLP (C)NO.32286/2025)

HITEN D PARMAR & ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

THE BRIHAN MUMBAI MUNICIPAL  
CORPORATION & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Leave granted.

2. The appellants challenge the judgment and order dated 07.10.2025 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay in WP. No. 1506/2019 titled "*Brihan Mumbai Municipal Corporation vs. Ashok Biyani, Esteem Corporations, Indian Inhabitant & Ors.*"

3. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, we are of the considered view that the impugned order passed by the High Court needs to be interfered with on two counts:

(I) The Court could not have ventured into deciding the issue of relationship of tenancy i.e. landlord(s) and tenant(s) *inter se* the appellants and the private respondents. More so, when the civil suit was pending before the Small Causes Court, as so referred to in paragraph 8 of the

impugned order itself.

(II) The High Court did not assign any reason for disagreeing with the findings recorded by the Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum established under the Electricity Act.

4. However, since the private respondents are without any electricity, as such, in the interest of justice, as also the parties, we direct respondent No.1 to immediately make provision for installing, supplying and energizing the electricity in the premises occupied by respondent Nos. 2 to 7 in terms of the order dated 21.08.2018 passed by the Consumer Forum(to the extent of 3.58 KW). All costs for installation and energisation shall be borne by the instant appellants. Thereafter whatever electricity is consumed, cost thereof shall be borne by the Consumers.

5. Let the needful be positively done within a period of three weeks.

6. We further direct that all litigation *inter se* the parties, including Civil Suit being R.A.D. Suit No.905/2017, be expedited.

7. Parties are directed to fully co-operate and not take any unnecessary adjournment(s).

8. We request the forum(s)/Trial Court to decide the same on expeditious basis and preferably within a period of one year.

9. We place on record a word of appreciation for all the learned amici curiae, namely, Mr. Srikanth Varma Mudunuru, Mr. Pival K. Peddireddi, Ms. Merlyn Rachel J., Ms. Shivangi Chawla and Mr. Abhishek Babbar.

10. The appeal is disposed of.

11 Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

.....J.  
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.  
(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)

NEW DELHI  
JULY 23, 2026

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No.32286/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 07-10-2025 in WP No. 1506/2019 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay]

HITEN D PARMAR & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE BRIHAN MUMBAI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 285416/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT AND IA No. 285418/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 23-07-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

ON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KAROL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Aniruddha Deshmukh, AOR

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Narender Hooda, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Neetika Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Saloni Jagga, Adv.  
Mr. Tavinder Sidhu, Adv.  
Mr. Vishal Talsania, Adv.  
M/S. M. V. Kini & Associates, AOR

Mr. Srikanth Varma Mudunuru, Adv.(A.C.)

Mr. Pival K. Peddireddi, Adv.(A.C.)

Ms. Merlyn Rachel J, Adv.(A.C.)

Ms. Shivangi Chawla, AOR (A.C.)

Mr. Abhishek Babbar, AOR (A.C.)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appeal is disposed of in terms of signed order.
3. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(RAJNI MUKHI)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(ANU BHALLA)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)

(Signed order is placed on the file)