



SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<u>WPMB/740/2026</u> M/s Arvind Associates --Petitioner Versus Chairman Uttarakhand Peyjal Nigam --Respondent With WPMB No. 736 of 2026 <u>Hon'ble Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J.</u> <u>Hon'ble Siddhartha Sah, J.</u> 1. Mr. H.M. Bhatia, Advocate holding brief of Mr. Siddhartha Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner. 2. Mr. S.S. Chaudhary, learned counsel for the respondent. 3. Since common questions of law and fact are involved in both the petitions, therefore, they are being heard and decided together. However, for the sake of brevity and convenience, facts of WPMB No. 740 of 2026 alone are being considered and discussed. 4. According to petitioner, his technical bid, submitted in response to a notice inviting tender issued by Uttarakhand Peyjal Nigam, was rejected; however, the ground for rejection has not been uploaded in the website. 5. Mr. S.S. Chauhan, learned counsel for Uttarakhand Peyjal Nigam submits that technical bid of the petitioner was rejected on the ground that Cash Credit Limit, provided by petitioner was



			cumulative for tender of eleven different works. 6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has made a complaint against other three bidders, including the successful bidder, however, no decision has been taken on that complaint, even though question, which touched the question of eligibility of successful bidder were raised in the representation. 7. Learned counsel for Uttarakhand Peyjal Nigam submits that financial bid has not been opened. 8. In such view of the matter, the writ petitions are disposed of with a direction to the Competent Authority to take decision on petitioner's representation, before opening the Financial Bid. (Siddhartha Sah, J.) (Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J.) 09.09.2026 <i>Aswal</i>
--	--	--	--