

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4292 of 2016

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.946 of 2014

CNR No. BRHC010103122016

=====

Hare Kant Roy, son of Late Jagdish Roy, Resident of Village- Bithouli, P.S.-
Baheri, District- Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Lalit Narayan Mithila University, Kameshwar Nagar, Darbhanga.
2. The Vice Chancellor, Lalit Narayan Mithila University, Kameshwar Nagar, Darbhanga namely Professor Saket Kushwaha, S/o not known to the petitioner.
3. The Registrar, Lalit Narayan Mithila University, Kameshwar Nagar, Darbhanga namely Dr. Ajit Kumar Singh, S/o not known to the petitioner.
4. The Principal, Maharaj Lakshmishwar Singh Memorial College, Darbhanga namely Dr. Nirbhay Narayan Chaudhary, S/o not known to the petitioner.
5. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sanat Kumar Mishra, Adv. Mr. Shantam Kriti, Adv.
For the LNMU	:	Mr. Iqbal Asif Niazi, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. Nikhil Kumar, AC to AAG-1 Mr. Ritu Raj Shukla, AC to AAG-1

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

5 30-10-2026 Mr. Iqbal Ashif Niazi, learned counsel appearing for

Lalit Narayan Mithila University (LNMU), Darbhanga, submits

that a fresh supplementary show-cause has been filed,

furnishing details to the effect that the benefit of ACP/MACP

has been granted to the petitioner and accordingly, payment of

pension is being made to him on the basis of the pay fixation

carried out by the University under the 7th Pay Revision. Thus,



there is no direction issued by this Court in CWJC No. 946 of 2014 which remains uncomplied with by the University. In support of his submissions, learned counsel has drawn the attention of this Court to the statements made on affidavit in the supplementary show-cause.

2. Mr. Sanat Kumar Mishra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, is unable to refute the aforesaid submissions.

3. Considering the above submissions, the instant matter stands disposed of, as there is no requirement to initiate any contempt proceeding against the opposite parties.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

Rajiv/-

U			
---	--	--	--

