

THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI
Interlocutory Application No.5156/2026
Un-numbered Company Appeal (AT) (Ins.) No. ____/2026
(F.No.04.06.2026/NCLAT/UR/05834)

In the matter of:

Anisha Satish Sahitya Proprietor of
VH Roofing Solutions Appellant

Vs.

CCTEB India Pvt. Ltd. through its Director,
Guobing Jiang Respondents

Appearance: Rohan Gupta, Advocate for the Appellant

27th July, 2026
(Hybrid Mode)

This is an application to extend the time granted for curing the defects.

The facts giving rise to present IA (re-filing) are that the Appellant e-filed the Memo of Appeal on 26.05.2026. The Office after scrutiny of the Memo of Appeal on 04.06.2026, intimated the defects to the Appellant on the same day. The Appellant re-filed the Appeal on 22.07.2026. It is stated in the IA that certain defects required the Interlocutory Application to be duly signed by the Appellant and at the relevant time, the Appellant was in Hyderabad while the Appeal was being processed in Delhi, which resulted in delay in curing the defects. Further after re-filing the Registry raised defects again, curing which caused further delay. Hence, there is delay of 41 days in re-filing the Memo of Appeal, so the same may be condoned.

Heard Ld. Counsel for Appellant and perused the averments made in the IA as well as Office report.

The Appellant was required to re-file the Memo of Appeal within seven days from the date of intimation of the defects. However, the Appellant re-filed the Memo of Appeal with a delay of 41 days, hence, the case may be placed before the Hon'ble Bench with defect for appropriate orders.

List the case before the Hon'ble Bench under the heading 'for admission with defect (fresh case)'.

(Raj Kumar)
Registrar