Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: ROJALIN NAYAK
Designation: Senior Stenographer
Reason: Authentication
Location: HIGH COURT OF ORISSA, CUTTACK
Date: 31-Oct-2026 15:58:34

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P(C) No.34781 of 2026
ODHC010819022026

Silon Patra

.... **Petitioner**
Mr. M.K. Khuntia, Adv.

-versus-

State of Odisha and Others .... **Opp. Parties**
Mr. S.P. Das, ASC

CORAM:
JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER
31.10.2026

Order No

01. **1.** This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.

2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the parties.

3. The present Writ Petition has been filed *inter alia* with the following prayer: -

> *"It is therefore humbly prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to admit the case, call for the records and after hearing both the parties pass the following reliefs ;*
>
> *i) To direct opposite parties to fix the pay of petitioner at Level-7 under first MACP under ORSP Rules, 2017 by modifying the pay fixation made under Annexure-3.*
>
> *ii) To direct opposite parties release the arrear salary consequent upon fixation of pay of petitioner at Level-7 under first MACP under ORSP Rules,2017.*

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: ROJALIN NAYAK
Designation: Senior Stenographer
Reason: Authentication
Location: HIGH COURT OF ORISSA, CUTTACK
Date: 31-Oct-2026 15:58:34

And pass such other order/orders as may be deemed fit and proper for the interest of justice.

And for this act of kindness, the petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray"

4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that through highlighting his grievances, the petitioner has filed a representation vide Annexure-6 to the Writ Petition before the Opp. Party No.1, but till date nothing has been done in the matter. In such background, he prays that a direction be issued to the Opposite Party No.1 to take a decision on the above noted petition within a specific time period.

5. Considering the submissions made and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court directs the Opposite Party No.1 to take a decision on the above noted representation in accordance with law, within a period of three(3) months from the date of receipt of this order and communicate the result of such exercise to the petitioner.

6. The Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Rojalin