

ITEM NO.62

COURT NO.5

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 31072/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 01-07-2026 in WP No. 5855/2026 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay at Aurangabad]

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MAULI VIDYAPITH KAIJ THROUGH ITS
AUTHORISED SIGNATORY & ANR.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 262512/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT, IA No. 262513/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 03-09-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK ARADHE

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Shrirang B. Varma, Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.
Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR
Mr. Harshavardhan V. Ghadge, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Ms. Anagha S. Desai, AOR
Mr. Satyajit A. Desai, Adv.
Mr. Kuldip Pawar, Adv.
Mr. Shashank Upadhyay, Adv.
Mr. Pratik Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Sachin Singh, Adv.
Mr. Vishwesh S. Gadage, Adv.
Ms. Avi Sahai, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. While we are not inclined to interfere with the interim order passed by the High Court, the State of Maharashtra may approach the High Court for modification of the impugned order on the ground that the order has the effect of granting the final relief.

2. We make it clear that we have not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case. It is for the High Court to take up and dispose of such application on its own merits, as and when filed.

3. With these observations, the Special Leave Petition is dismissed.

4. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, is/are disposed of.

(JAYANT KUMAR ARORA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(NIDHI WASON)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR