

**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL**  
**PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

**Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 1310 of 2026 & I.A. No. 5109 of 2026**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

**Suresh Chandra Agarwal**

**...Appellant**

**Versus**

**Rampal Bhardwaj Resolution Professional of ANS  
Apartments Pvt. Ltd. & Anr.**

**...Respondents**

**Present:**

**For Appellant** : Mr. Samaksh Goyal, Adv.

**For Respondents** : Mr. Mohit Nandwani, Mr, Om Gulati, Ms. Akansha Mehta, Adv. for R-1.

Mr. Kumar Sparsh & Mr. Aditya Madaan, for SRA.

**ORDER**  
**(Hybrid Mode)**

**19.08.2026**      **I.A. No. 5109 of 2026**

Heard Learned Counsel for the Appellant and Learned Counsel for the Respondent No. 1 & 2. Perused the record.

Learned Counsel for the Appellant while drawing our attention towards the paragraph no. 2 to 6 of the delay condonation application submits that the delay of two days has occurred in filing the appeal for the reasons which were not under the control of the Appellant and therefore, the delay of two days may kindly be condone.

No objection has been raised by Learned Counsel for the Respondents.

Keeping in view the submissions made by Learned Counsel for the Appellant in the application for condonation of delay, we allow the appeal and condone the delay of two days which has occurred in filing the appeal.

**I.A. No. 5110 of 2026**

We dispose of the aforesaid IA with an observation that as and when the necessity would arise for filing of a typed copy of any document which according to us would be dim, the same shall be filed by the Appellant at the earliest.

**I.A. No. 5108 of 2026**

Learned Counsel for the Appellant submits that he be permitted to bring on record the certified copy of the Impugned Order and be also exempted for filing the same for the time being.

Learned Counsel for the RP as well as Learned Counsel for the Respondent No. 2 objects to the request made by Learned Counsel for the Appellant on the ground that the application for obtaining the copy could have filed much earlier, and therefore, the violation of this may not be cured subsequently.

Be that as it may, we leave it open to the Learned Counsel for the Respondents to take this objections at an appropriate stage, however, we permit Learned Counsel for the Appellant to bring on record the certified copy of the Impugned Order at the earliest.

I.A is disposed of with the aforesaid directions.

**Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 1310 of 2026 & I.A. No. 5107 of 2026**

Learned Counsel for the Appellant submits that he has received copy of the reply filed by Respondent No. 1 last evening and therefore, some reasonable time may be granted for the purpose of filing rejoinder. We accordingly permit him to file the rejoinder within one week from today.

Learned Counsel for the RP submits that he has filed the reply 'online' with two days delay, therefore, the Registry be directed to take it on record and he further be permitted to bring on record the hard copy of the same.

We accordingly direct the Registry to take on record the Reply filed by the RP/ Respondent No. 1 and also direct the RP to bring on record the hard copy by today itself.

Keeping in view the request made by Learned Counsel for the SRA we also permit him to file the reply within one week and no more time with an advance copy to Learned Counsel for the Appellant, who may file the rejoinder within one week thereafter.

List accordingly on **24.09.2026**.

**[Justice Mohammad Faiz Alam Khan]**  
**Member (Judicial)**

**[Mr. Naresh Salecha]**  
**Member (Technical)**

*Sim/mr*