

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 25779/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 20-05-2026 in SAD No. 426/2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad]

UTTAR PRADESH STATE WAREHOUSING CORPORATION & ORS. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

ARJUN KUMAR SINGH & ORS. Respondent(s)

IA No. 217787/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 217788/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 15-09-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASHANT KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHREE CHANDRASHEKHAR

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Niranjana Reddy, Adv.
Ms. Sakshi Kakkar, AOR
Mr. Shakti Singh, Adv.
Mr. Shivansh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Abhishek Gupta, AOR
Ms. Sheena Taqui, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. This Special Leave Petition is directed against an interim order passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad dated 20.05.2026, while hearing Writ Appeal against the order passed by learned Single Judge, which has allowed the respondents' Writ Petition seeking regularization.

2. In the impugned order, the High Court has passed the following operative order, in paragraph 20 thereof, which is reproduced hereunder:-

"20. Accordingly, the order of the learned single judge dated 14.08.2018 is stayed, subject to the following conditions:

i. All original petitioners-respondents shall continue to be engaged as before, without being offered any break, as may disentitle them to the fruits of this litigation.

ii. The appellants are required to consider with all seriousness, framing of a policy to examine the eligibility of the petitioners and the feasibility of regularization of the original petitioners-respondents, in terms of the existing law including by purposeful implementation of the Regularization Scheme. In that, it may remain open to the appellants to adopt a proper resolution or frame another policy or to amend the policy in issue, to ensure that such original petitioners-respondents, who may have continued to work for substantial periods during the last 10 years may remain included and entitled to the benefits of regularization.

iii. This order shall not apply to the four employees, who have already been regularized in terms of the order of the learned single judge."

3. After observing as above, the Division Bench of the High Court posted the matter for hearing on 29.07.2026. It is informed that due to pendency of the present Special Leave Petition, hearing has not taken place.

4. While issuing notice in this Special Leave Petition, this Court stayed the directions contained

in the paragraph 20(ii) of the impugned order, as extracted above.

5. Having heard learned counsel appearing for the parties, we are of the considered view that keeping the present Special Leave Petition pending would unnecessarily delay the hearing of the Writ Appeal and the connected matters pending before the Division Bench of the High Court.

6. Therefore, we deem it appropriate to dispose of the Special Leave Petition by continuing the interim order dated 04.08.2026 in this Special Leave Petition till the High Court disposes of the matter(s). At the same time, we request the High Court to take up the hearing of the pending Writ Appeal and other connected matters for decision making, at the earliest, preferably within a period of three months from today.

7. The Special Leave Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

8. The pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SHIPRA NARANG)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(POOJA KOHLI)
COURT MASTER (NSH)