CGHC010393972026 2026:CGHC:46352

PAWAN KUMAR JHA
Digitally signed by PAWAN KUMAR JHA
Date: 2026.11.02 19:21:39 +0530

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1759 of 2026

- Yuvraj Nayak S/o Bansidhar Nayak Aged About 54 Years R/o Vill- Semliya, Thana Balod Tehsil Saraipali, Distt- Mahasamund (C.G.)

... Applicant

versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Police Station Saraipali, Distt- Mahasamund (C.G.)

... Respondent

For Applicant	:	Mr. Manay Nath Thakur, Advocate
For Respondent-State	:	Mr. H.A.P.S. Bhatia, Dy. Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

ORDER ON BOARD

02/11/2026

1. Applicant has preferred this application under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail as he apprehends his arrest in connection with Crime No. 289/2026 registered at Police Station- Saraipali, District Mahasamund (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 120B, 409, 420, 467, 468, 471 of IPC.

2. Case of prosecution, in brief, is that complainant Avinash Sharma, Nodal Officer, Jila Sahkari Kendriya Bank Maryadit, Branch Toresingha lodged a written report in Police Station Saraipali, District Mahasamund stating about fraud committed of KCC loan in the concerned bank. Kisans/members of Prathmik Krishi Sah Sahakari Samiti, Maryadit Kena Reg. No. 1247 have obtain KCC loan (Kisan Credit Card loan) in the year 2023 by manipulating land documents and loan upto 30-40% extra has been sanctioned to the 57 kisan/member of Kena Sahkari Samiti by the

Jila Sehakri Bank Maryadit Raipur Branch Toresignha. Based on which, aforementioned crime was registered for the aforesaid offences against the applicant as well as the 4 other bank employees i.e. supervisory and cashier.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that applicant is working as Branch Manager of Jila Sahkari Kendriya Bank Maryadit, Branch Toresingha, Raipur. He submits that applications are collected by the samiti and thereafter forwarded to the Bank and the Bank after verification of the contents of applications accept those applications. He also submits that according to the procedure the forms/ applications are to be submitted to the accountant and then placed before the applicant for approval. Applicant after perusal prima facie found them to be correct and proceeded further. He has not committed any offence as alleged. Applicant will participate in the investigation. Hence, he may be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the submission of counsel for applicant and would submit that at the time of disbursing of KCC loan based on the applications submitted, loan has been granted without proper verification of the fact. He submits that excess loan has been disbursed showing the excess area of the land though not actually owing/ possessing by the applicants of KCC loan and that too there are two applicants who even do not posses any land.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the respective parties and also perused the documents enclosed along with bail application.

6. On due consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation, submission of learned counsel for the parties, without commenting anything on merits, I am inclined to allow this anticipatory bail application.

7. Accordingly, application is allowed and it is directed that in the event of arrest of applicant in connection with the crime in question (289/2026), he shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Officer arresting him on his executing a personal bond in the sum of ₹25,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned arresting Officer. Applicant shall also abide by the following conditions:

(i) that the applicant shall make himself available for interrogation before the Investigation Officer as and when required;

(ii) that the applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) that the applicant shall not act, in any manner, which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial; and

(iv) that the applicant shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-

(**Parth Prateem Sahu**)
JUDGE

pwn