

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Company Appeal (AT) (Insolvency) No. 844 of 2026
& I.A. No. 3335 of 2026

IN THE MATTER OF:

Aegis Resolution Services Private Limited **...Appellant**

Versus

Praxis Home Retail Limited & Ors. **...Respondents**

Present:

For Appellant : Ms. Prachi Johri, Mr. Sachin Jain, Mr. Dhruvad Vaghani, Ms. Gayatri Mohite, Mr. Rohit Doshi, Advocates.

For Respondents : Mr. Ritin Rai, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Arjun Krishnan, Mr. Lalit Munshi, Mr. Arpit Lahoti, Ms. Sailee, Mr. Satyajit, Mr. Purushothaman R, Mr. Dhaval, Advocates for R-1.

Mr. Krishnendu Datta, Sr. Advocate with Mr. J. Rajesh, Ms. Tulika Bhatnagar, Mr. Md. Arsalan Ahmed, Mr. Ali Abbas Masoodi, Advocates for R-2 to 6.

With

Company Appeal (AT) (Insolvency) No. 771 of 2026

IN THE MATTER OF:

Hari Krushant Bhise and Others **...Appellant**

Versus

Aegis Resolution Services Private Limited Resolution Professional of Ojas Tradelease and Management Private Limited and Anr. **...Respondents**

Present:

For Appellant : Mr. Krishnendu Datta, Sr. Advocate with Mr. J. Rajesh, Ms. Tulika Bhatnagar, Advocates.

For Respondents : Ms. Prachi Johri, Mr. Sachin Jain, Mr. Dhruvad Vaghani, Ms. Gayatri Mohite, Mr. Rohit Doshi, Advocates for R-1.

Cont'd.../

With

Company Appeal (AT) (Insolvency) No. 772 of 2026

IN THE MATTER OF:

Praxis Home Retail Limited

...Appellant

Versus

**Aegis Resolution Services Private Limited, Resolution ...Respondents
Professional of Ojas Tradelease and Mall Management
Private Limited & Ors.**

Present:

**For Appellant : Mr. Ritin Rai, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Arjun
Krishnan, Mr. Lalit Munshi, Mr. Arpit Lahoti, Mr.
Lalit Munshi, Ms. Sailee, Mr. Satyajit, Mr.
Purushotaman R, Mr. Dhaval, Advocates.**

**For Respondents : Ms. Prachi Johri, Mr. Sachin Jain, Mr. Dhruvad
Vaghani, Ms. Gayatri Mohite, Mr. Rohit Doshi,
Advocates for R-1.**

**Mr. Krishnendu Datta, Sr. Advocate with Mr. J.
Rajesh, Ms. Tulika Bhatnagar, Mr. Md. Arsalan
Ahmed, Mr. Yashwardhan Aggarwal, Mr. Ali Abbas
Masoodi, Advocates for R-2 to 6.**

ORDER
(Hybrid Mode)

08.05.2026: **I.A. No. 3335 of 2026:** This is an application praying for condonation of 10 days' delay in filing the appeal. We find sufficient cause has been shown in the application in Para 2.3 to condone the delay. Delay condoned. I.A. No.3335 of 2026 is disposed of.

Issue notice in Company Appeal (AT) (Ins.) No. 844 of 2026. Learned counsel for the Respondent No.1 and Respondent No.2 to 6 are present and accepts notice.

Issue notice in Company Appeal (AT) (Ins.) No.771 and 772 of 2026.
Learned counsels for the Respondents accept notice.

Let pleadings be completed in all the appeals. Let reply be filed within two weeks. Rejoinder be filed within one week thereof.

We have passed an interim order dated 27.04.2026 in Company Appeal (AT) (Ins.) No.771 of 2025. The interim order already passed dated 27.04.2026 shall continue, however, we make it clear that interim order does not interdict any process in the CIRP.

List all these appeals on **08.07.2026**.

[Justice Ashok Bhushan]
Chairperson

[Barun Mitra]
Member (Technical)

Archana/md