



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
W.P.(C) No. 13246 of 2012

Srinivas Panigrahi

.....

Petitioner

Mr. D. Mohapatra, Sr. Adv.

-versus-

OSRTC & Ors.

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. Amitav Tripathy, Adv.

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

22.06.2026

Order No. 11

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel appearing for the Opp. Parties.
3. Petitioner has filed the present writ petition inter alia with the following prayer:-

“The petitioner therefore most humbly prays that this Hon'ble court be graciously pleased to issue rule nisi calling upon the opposite parties to show cause as to why the opposite parties shall not be commanded to allow the petitioner to continue as before on the terms and conditions fixed in the post of Assistant Transport Manager and as to why his case shall not be considered for absorption in the existing vacant post and as to why there shall not be a direction to release his wages pending since February, 2012 and as to why the petitioner shall not be allowed to continue discharge his duties on being posted in the specific place of posting consequent upon dismissal of the writ application by the Hon'ble High Court referred to in the cause title.



And if the opposite parties fail to show cause and show insufficient cause to make the said rule absolute by issuance of an appropriate writ(s), order(s), direction(s) as this Hon'ble court deems just, equitable and proper in the interest of the petitioner.

And/or to pass such other order(s) and direction(s) as this Hon'ble Court deems just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case.

And for this act of kindness the petitioner shall as in duty bound ever pray."

4. However, taking into account the claim raised in the present Writ Petition, liberty is granted to the Petitioner to make a fresh representation before Opp. Party No.1 by enclosing all the relevant documents and citations in support of his claim, if any, within a period of three (3) weeks hence.

5. It is observed that if such representation is filed within the aforesaid time period, Opp. Party No. 1 shall do well to take a lawful decision on the same within a period of three (3) months from the date of receipt of such representation. The order so passed by Opp. Party No. 1 be communicated to the Petitioner.

6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the Writ Petition is disposed of.

(BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY)
Judge

Jyoti