IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Civil Revision No. : 146 of 2016
Decided on : 7th September, 2026

Subhash Kumar …Petitioner

Versus

M/s Universal Estate, through its partner …Respondent

Coram
The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Virender Singh, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioner : Ms. Kamlesh Kumari, Advocate vice Mr. H.S. Rana, Advocate.

For the respondent : Mr. Sudhir Thakur, Senior Advocate with Mr. Somesh Sharma, Advocate.

Virender Singh, Judge (Oral)

Under instructions, it has been submitted by learned vice counsel appearing for the petitioner that the tenant will hand over the vacant possession of the demised premises within a week, in case, the landlord will not press the use and occupation charges.

2. Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the respondent, endorsed the above statement of learned counsel for the petitioner and submitted that the respondent will not

---

[1] *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes.*

press the use and occupation charges, in case, the petitioner will hand over the vacant possession of the demised premises, within a week. Their statements are taken on record.

3. In view of the above, the petition is disposed of, with a direction to the petitioner to hand over the vacant possession of the demised premises, within a week, without depositing the use and occupation charges, failing which, the petition shall stand revived automatically.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Virender Singh)
Judge

September 07, 2026*(ps)*