

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL REVIEW No.196 of 2024

In
Letters Patent Appeal No.794 of 2019

-
1. The Magadh University through its Vice Chancellor, Magadh University Bodh Gaya, P.S.-Magadh University, District-Gaya.
 2. The Registrar University, Bodh Gaya, P.S.-Magadh University, District-Gaya.
 3. Finance Officer, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya, P.S.-Magadh University, District-Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Akhilanand Singh S/o Late Binda Singh Resident of Village- Kobil, PO-Kobil, PS Islampur, Dist.Nalanda.
2. The State of Bihar through its Principal Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Principal, SBAN College Dahattalari Magadh University, Patna. Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

with
CIVIL REVIEW No. 197 of 2024
In
Letters Patent Appeal No.841 of 2019

1. Magadh University, through its Vice Chancellor, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya P.S.- Magadh University, District- Gaya.
2. Registrar, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya, P.S.- Magadh University, District- Gaya.
3. Finance Officer, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya, P.S.- Magadh University, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Kumar Gaurav S/o Late Shree Bhagwan Singh Resident of Village- Chanka, P.S.- Nokha, District- Rohtas.
2. The State of Bihar through its Principal Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Principal, Ram Lakhan Singh Yadav College, Aurangabad.



... .. Opposite Party/s

with
CIVIL REVIEW No. 198 of 2024
In
Letters Patent Appeal No.899 of 2019

1. Magadh University, through its Vice Chancellor, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya, P.S.- Magadh University, District- Gaya.
2. Registrar, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya, P.S.- Magadh University, District- Gaya.
3. Finance Officer, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya, P.S.- Magadh University, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Ram Ratan Prasad S/o- Sri Raghunandan Prasad Presently working as Lab boy in the department of Zoology, in Ram Lakhan Singh Yadav College, Aurangabad, P.S. and District- Aurangabad.
2. The State of Bihar through its Principal Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Principal, Ram Lakhan Singh Yadav College, Aurangabad.

... .. Opposite Party/s

with
CIVIL REVIEW No. 200 of 2024
In
Letters Patent Appeal No.955 of 2019

1. Magadh University through its Vice Chancellor, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya, P.S.- Magadh University, District-Gaya
2. Registrar, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya, P.S.- Magadh University, District-Gaya.
3. Finance Officer, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya, P.S.- Magadh University, District-Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Anjana Verma W/o-Late Chandra Shekhar Verma, Resident of Mohalla Kamla Nehru Sishu Vihar, P.S.- Patliputra Colony, District- Patna.
2. The State of Bihar, through its Principal Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of



Bihar, Patna.

4. The Principal, Ganga Devi Mahila College, Magadh University, Patna, Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

(In CIVIL REVIEW No. 196 of 2024)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Om Prakash Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Siddhartha Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.

(In CIVIL REVIEW No. 197 of 2024)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Om Prakash Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Siddhartha Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.

(In CIVIL REVIEW No. 198 of 2024)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Om Prakash Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Siddhartha Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.

(In CIVIL REVIEW No. 200 of 2024)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Om Prakash Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Siddhartha Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY)

- 3 18-09-2026 Learned counsel for the petitioners undertakes to remove the defect(s).

2. Though the matter has come under the heading “For Orders (On Office Notes)”, with the consent of the parties, the petitions are being taken up for consideration.

3. Heard Mr. Siddharth Prasad, learned counsel for the petitioners (The Magadh University) in all the cases.

4. All the cases arises out of the same matter and as such, the prayer of the C. Rev. No. 196 of 2024 has been taken



up for consideration and read as follows:

“for seeking review of the order dated 26.09.2022 passed by the Division Bench in LPA No. 794 of 2019 (arising out of CWJC No. 17670 of 2017) whereby and whereunder the Hon’ble Division Bench has been pleased to dismiss the said appeal in terms of LPA No. 1117 of 2019.”

5. At the outset, Mr. Siddharth Prasad, learned counsel for the petitioners has provided the order of the Hon’ble Apex Court in **SLA (C) No. 29618-29619 of 2024 (The State of Bihar & Ors. vs. Akhilanand Singh & Ors.)** and analogous cases to submit that on **19.11.2025**, the Hon’ble Apex Court has disposed of the matter arising out of the same batch cases [**LPA No. 1556 of 2018 (the State of Bihar & Ors. vs. Magadh University & Ors.)**].

6. The order dated 19.11.2025 of the Hon’ble Apex Court read as under:

“We have heard the learned Senior counsel appearing for the petitioner(s), the learned senior counsel appearing for the respondents and other counsel appearing for the parties.

The order which is under challenge



follows as under:-

"The present appeals are similar to that of LPA No. 1117 of 2019 arising out of CWJC No. 15583 of 2018 decided on 25.07.2022.

Accordingly, the present appeals stands dismissed in terms of LPA No. 1117 of 2019 and connected matters.

CWJC No. 18592 of 2017 is de-linked.

LPA No. 404 of 2019 is also de-linked.

Relist LPA No. 404 of 2019 for hearing 27.09.2022."

This was passed, placing reliance upon the following order passed in LPA No.1117/2019:-

"Heard Learned counsels for respective parties.

Learned counsel for the appellants fairly submitted that the present appeal is covered by decision passed in LPA No.159 of 2021 arising out of CWJC No.15778 of 2018 decided on 11.07.2022.

In the light of the order dated 07.11.2022 passed in LPA No.159 of 2021, the present appeal stands dismissed."

The aforesaid order was passed based upon the earlier order passed in LPA No.159/2021.

The relevant portion is as under: -

"5. At this juncture learned counsel for the appellant submitted that an appeal has been preferred and it is numbered as L.P.A. No.1556 of



2018 and accepting consideration. assuming that in CWJC No.17670 of 2017 if there are any certain direction to the State, in that event the present appeal could be distinguished for the reasons that the learned Single Judge in the present matter has directed the 5th respondent to consider the grievance of the respondent Sunita Mishra and there are no directions to the State.

6. In the light of these facts and circumstances both on the ground of delay as well as maintainability of the present appeal by the State of Bihar, appeals stands dismissed."

Thus, the petitioner(s) are not aggrieved, as of now. Though learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the Learned Single Judge has made some observations, the said observations will not have any binding effect on the petitioner(s).

In order to resolve the dispute, we only say that the University concerned is expected to consult the petitioner(s) before taking any decision, in accordance with law.

Accordingly, it is well open to the University to consult the petitioner(s) before taking a decision, in accordance with law.



Liberty is given to the petitioner(s) to challenge the same in the manner known to law, in case an order affecting the rights of the petitioner(s) is passed.

The Special Leave Petitions stand disposed or in the aforesaid terms.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, in that background, all the present petitions have become infructuous and thus can be disposed of.

8. Taking into account the aforesaid submissions put forward by the learned counsel for the University, all the present batch cases stand disposed of as infructuous.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

(Harish Kumar, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

