

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P. (C) No. 7980 of 2026**

Amrapali Enterprises, a proprietorship concern through its
Proprietor, Manoj Kumar, S/o Ram Bilash Singh, R/o H.
No. 37, Line No. 4, Cable Basti, P.O. & P.S.-Golmuri,
Jamshedpur, District-East Singhbhum

..... Petitioner

-Versus-

1. State of Jharkhand
2. District Magistrate-cum-Deputy Commissioner, East
Singhbhum, Town-Jamshedpur
3. Authorized Officer, Bank of India, Pradhan Tower, Second
Floor, near Over Bridge, Main Road, Ranchi, P.O. & P.S.-
Chutia, District-Ranchi

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioner : Mr. Jitendra Nath Upadhyay, Advocate
For Res.-State : Ms. Nirupama, AC to Sr. SC.-II
For the Res.-Bank : Mr. Sumit Prakash, Advocate

Order No.02 **Date: 15.10.2026**

1. The writ petition has been filed for quashing the order
dated 22.08.2026 passed in SARFAESI Case No. 36/2026-
27, whereby a direction has been issued to take physical
possession of the petitioner's property mortgaged by the
respondent-Bank.
2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the
contents of the writ petition. It appears that the petitioner
being aggrieved with the action of the respondent-Bank
initiated under the Securitisation and Reconstruction of
Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act,
2002, preferred an application under Section 17 of the
said Act before the Debts Recovery Tribunal, Ranchi,
which was registered as S.A. No. 111 of 2025. The DRT,
Ranchi vide order dated 21.11.2025 passed an interim

order restraining the respondent-Bank from taking physical possession of the property till further orders. The grievance of the petitioner is that despite the interim order dated 21.11.2025 passed by the DRT, Ranchi, the respondent No. 2 passed the impugned order dated 22.08.2026 in SARFAESI Case No. 36/2026-27, whereby a direction was issued to take physical possession of the petitioner's property.

3. Mr. Sumit Prakash, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-Bank, produces a copy of the order dated 01.10.2026 passed by the DRT, Ranchi in S.A. No. 111 of 2025 and submits that the said order contains the submission made on behalf of the respondent-Bank to the effect that the respondent No. 2 would have passed the order dated 22.08.2026 in compliance of the order passed by this Court, whereby all the Deputy Commissioners/District Magistrates were directed to dispose of the applications under Section 14 of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 pending with them in a timebound manner. However, the respondent-Bank is not going to take physical possession of secured assets in view of the interim order dated 21.11.2025 passed by the DRT, Ranchi.
4. It is, thus, submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-Bank that even if the respondent

No. 2 has passed the impugned order dated 22.08.2026, the respondent-Bank is not going to take physical possession of the said property till the interim order dated 21.11.2025 passed in S.A. No. 111/2025 continues.

5. Considering the said submission of learned counsel for the respondent-Bank, there is no need to further proceed in the present matter.
6. The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

15.10.2026
Vikas/
Uploaded on 15.10.2026