

21.09.2026.
Court No.13
Item No. 10
ap/AP

M.A.T. 1611 of 2026
With
I.A. No. CAN 1 of 2026

Ravi Ranjan Kumar & Ors.
Versus
Deben Mahato Teachers' Training Institute (B.Ed.)
College, represented by its President & Ors.

Mr. Biswaroop Bhattacharya,
Mr. Sumitava Chakraborty.

...For the appellants.

Mr. Sarosij Dasgupta,
Mr. Lutful Haque,
Mr. Pourush Bandopadhyay,
Ms. Tahanima Khatun.

. ...For the respondent no.7.

Mr. Srijib Chakraborty,
Mr. Aditya Mondal.

...For the respondent nos.1 and 2.

Mrs. Asha G. Gutghutia.

...For the NCTE.

Mr. Parashar Baidya.

...For the respondent nos.3 to 5.

Mr. Sudipta Panda.

...For the Special Officer.

1. The instant intra court appeal is directed against the order dated 17th September, 2026 passed by a learned Single Judge of this Court in W.P.A. 7457 of 2026. The writ petition was filed by the College or faction claiming to be in control of the College. The rival faction is the respondent no.7.

2. The student/appellants before us were added as respondents before the Single Bench. The students/appellants are aggrieved by rival faction claiming to be in control of the College represented by the respondent no.7, has prevented the appellants

from filling up the forms which would generate admit cards and other eligibility certificate for sitting in the fourth semester examination to be held day after tomorrow.

3. The rivalry between the two factions claiming to control the College in question, has resulted in six rounds of writ petition before the Single Bench of this Court. Immediately before a semester examination, the jurisdiction of the Single Bench is invoked and ad hoc measures are obtained from the Single Benches to enable the students to participate in semester examination.

4. With the process indicated above, the students have completed three semester examinations. The students/appellants before us are of the 2024-2026 academic session. Upon one faction represented by Mr. Srijib Chakraborty, claiming to control the College approaching Single Bench, a similar prayer was made to permit the appellants to sit in the fourth semester examination.

5. The appellants claim that they could not submit the forms, notified by the university to the official email ID of the college that is admittedly under the control of respondent No.7.

6. The university and the respondent No.7 contended before this Court that each of the appellants are, in fact, registered students of the

college for the academic session of 2024 to 2026 and are not entitled to fill the forms in question, since they did not attend any classes.

7. Reference was made to an order passed by a Division Bench of this Court dated 29th May, 2026 in MAT 932 of 2026 which kept another order of a Single Bench dated 22nd May, 2026 in abeyance. The Single Bench in its order dated 22nd May, 2026 had on lines of the orders dated 27th March, 2026, 9th April, 2026 and 20th May, 2026 in W.P.A. 7457 of 2026, framed modalities ad hoc to enable students to sit in the first three semester examinations. Such students were admitted by the faction in the control of Mr. Srijib Chakraborty's clients.

8. As already stated hereinabove, the arrangement by the Single Bench in the order dated 22nd May, 2026 (supra) was kept in abeyance by a coordinate Bench vide order dated 29th May, 2026 (supra). The Supreme Court has not interfered with the said order dated 29th May, 2026.

9. There are two reasons that weigh with this Court as crucial for passing interim orders as prayed for by the appellants. The interim order sought is to permit the appellants/students to participate in the fourth semester examination.

10. Firstly that the examination that are scheduled to be held day after tomorrow and tomorrow being a

holiday, it is virtually impossible for the university to put in places logistics to conduct the examinations of the appellants /students. As many as 40,000 students from various other colleges affiliated to the university are already due to sit for the examination day after tomorrow.

11. The appellants did not attend classes in the college in question and, therefore, would not be eligible even otherwise to sit for the examination in question.

12. The appellants knew about the uncertainty in the management in the college in question yet to choose to continue their courses with the college.

13. The aforesaid are, inter alia, the reasons for this Court to decline any interim relief to the appellants.

14. While it is true that the interests of a student as primary before a Court of law there is no serious denial by the appellants that they have not attended classes. Even assuming for the sake of argument that the appellants have passed three semesters examinations with or without attendance, this Court is concerned as to whether the appellants, in fact, will meet the criteria attached to a valid B.Ed. degree.

15. This Court is equally appalled of the silence and inactions of the Baba Saheb Ambedkar University. It is only on 29th August, 2026 that it has issued a

show-cause to the writ petitioner College as regards deficiencies in its functioning. It is equally surprising to note that the College has come forward to file the writ petitions and seek relief for the students. The students are only responding at the instance of a faction of the College which has filed the writ petition, to save its flock. The allegations of monetary considerations, weighing over education, made by one faction against the other cannot be brushed aside.

16. In the light of the above, this Court is not inclined to entertain these appeals much less passing any interim orders.

17. The parties are relegated back before the Single Bench to prove their rival contentions after exchange of affidavits.

18. With the aforesaid observations, MAT 1611 of 2026 is, therefore, disposed of without any orders. Consequently, CAN 1 of 2026 shall also stand disposed of.

19. The writ petitions being WPA 25523 of 2025, WPA 11953 of 2026, WPA 22624 of 2022, WPA 24528 of 2025, WPA 27485 of 2024 and WPA 7457 of 2026 may be mentioned for early hearing before the Single Bench and the same may be taken up with some urgency or expedition by the Single Bench, subject to the business of the Bench, permitting.

20. There shall be no order as to costs.

21. All parties are directed to act on a server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Rajasekhar Mantha, J.)

(Anuj Singh, J.)