

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G SPETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (C) NO.21700/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 05-05-2026 in WP No. 334/2026 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay]

RAHUL SUDHINDRA SONI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M/S BHARAT AVIATION PRIVATE LIMITED &amp; ANR.

Respondent(s)

IA No.179282/2026-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No.179281/2026-PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

Date : 22-06-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UJJAL BHUYAN  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI  
[PARTIAL COURT WORKING DAYS BENCH]

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Rudraksh Pandey, Adv.  
Mr. Kabir Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Prashant Rawat, AOR

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

1. We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the interim order dated 13.01.2025 passed by the Industrial Court, Mumbai as well as the impugned order of the High Court dated 05.05.2026.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed reliance on a decision of this Court in *D.P. Maheshwari vs. Delhi Administration*, (1983) 4 SCC 293 in support of his

contention that the High Court had erred in interfering with the interlocutory order passed by the Industrial Tribunal.

3. While on principle there can be no dispute to such a proposition, we however find that in the present case, as held by the High Court, the Industrial Court has by way of an interlocutory order virtually granted the final relief to the petitioner though it is contended by the learned counsel that there are other issues also which are pending before the Industrial Tribunal.

4. On due consideration while we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order passed by the High Court, we are, however, of the view that considering the peculiar facts of this case, the Industrial Court, Mumbai should endeavour to dispose of the complaint (ULP No.450/2024) pending before it expeditiously, preferably within a period of six months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. Subject to the above, the special leave petition is disposed of.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(KAVITA PAHUJA)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(SAPNA BANSAL)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)