

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (C) Nos. 14431-14434/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 10-04-2026 in WA No. 99/2013 10-04-2026 in WA No. 95/2013 10-04-2026 in WA No. 97/2013 10-04-2026 in WA No. 2103/2013 passed by the High Court of Karnataka at Bengaluru]

LAKEVIEW TOURISM CORPORATION

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

ANUSUYA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 303849/2026 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS)

Date : 26-10-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. C A Sundaram, Sr. Adv.
Mr. S Niranjana Reddy, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Badri Vishal, Adv.
Ms. Rohini Musa- 1907, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Pankaj Kumar Mishra- 2427, AOR
Mr. N S Vijayanth Babu, Adv.

Dr. Aditya Sondhi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. S. N. Aswathnaryan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Nikhil Rohatgi, Adv.
Mr. S. A. Sudhindra, Adv.
Mr. B. Shravanth Shanker, AOR
Ms. Prerna Robin, Adv.
Mr. I.V. Sai Nikhil, Adv.
Mr. Tushar Gadia, Adv.
Mr. Anubhav Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Rijuk Sarkar, Adv.
Mr. Shivam Kunal, Adv.
Ms. Grahita Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Dandu Laxman, Adv.
Mr. B. Yeshwanth Raj, Adv.

Mr. Anand Sanjay M. Nuli, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ashritsai P. Torgal, Adv.

Ms. Akhila Wali, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Kanyalur, Adv.

M/S. Nuli & Nuli- 2021, AOR

Mr. Shashi Kiran Shettly, Adv. Gen.
Mr. Devadatt Kamat, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Nishant Patil, AOR
Ms. Bhumi Agrawal, Adv.
Mr. Arijit Dey, Adv.
Mr. Awanish Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Harshita Jain, Adv.
Ms. Vaishvi Patil, Adv.
Mr. Harsh Pandey, Adv.

Mr. Tushar Mehta, S.G.
Mr. Tarun Johri, AOR
Mr. Ankur Gupta, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel, the Court made the following
O R D E R

I.A. No. 303849/2026

In the light of the averments made by the newly impleaded respondent, Bangalore Metro Rail Corporation Limited, the *status quo* order granted by this Court on 04.05.2026 shall stand modified to the extent of permitting the Bangalore Metro Rail Corporation Limited, to proceed with the construction work of the metro project on the land in question.

Issues with regard to the payments made and yet to be made, in relation to the said land are, however, left open.

The interlocutory application is disposed of accordingly.

(BABITA PANDEY)
AR-CUM-PS

(PREETI SAXENA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)