



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.1110 of 2010

ODHC010049682010

Doli Karan

....

Petitioner

None

-versus-

***Ravenshaw University and
another***

....

Opp. Parties

Mr. Venugopal Mohapatra, Advocate
Along with
Ms. Aishwariya Ray, Advocate
Ms. Jagruti Mohanty, Advocate

CORAM:

JUSTICE MRUGANKA SEKHAR SAHOO

ORDER

14.10.2026

(Hybrid Mode)

Order No.

- 05.**
- 1.** The matter is prioritized being of the year 2010 and is listed at the top of the list under the caption 'Old Matters'.
 - 2.** None appears for the petitioner when the matter is called.

None had appeared for the petitioner when it was listed and taken up on 06.10.2026.

As directed by the order dated 06.10.2026, learned counsel Mr. Mohapatra has obtained up-to-date instructions from the Opp. Party Nos.1 and 2 and produces the copy of the proceeding of the Selection

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: RAJESH KUMAR BADHEI
Reason: Authentication
Location: HIGH COURT OF ORISSA,
CUTTACK
Date: 14-Oct-2026 19:14:06



Committee meeting held on 03.02.2010 for selection of lecturer in Zoology for the Ravenshaw University.

3. Copy of the said proceeding produced in Court is kept on record.

At paragraph 9 of the proceeding, the following has been observed by the Selection Committee consisting of six members led by the Vice-Chancellor, Ravenshaw University:

“9. It was brought to the notice of the Selection Committee that Doli Karan (Sl. @ 17) who had cleared UGC NET but not completed her Ph.D. was interviewed on the direction of the Hon'ble High Court arising out of the writ petition mentioned above. The Selection Committee, having regard to her overall career record, research and viva performance, evaluated her as number 3 (three) in the merit list. The Committee recommended that she may be appointed in the event of non availability of any of the two candidates recommended earlier for appointment as Lecturers. She may also be utilized as a super numerary teacher if the university so requires.”

4. Since the challenge is to a selection process held sixteen years back, apparently the petitioner has lost interest in pursuing the matter.

This Court takes note of the paragraph 9 of the Selection Committee proceeding.

5. The writ application is disposed of.

(Mruganka Sekhar Sahoo)
Judge