

**IN THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL**  
**DIVISION BENCH, COURT – 1, AHMEDABAD**

ITEM No.208- IA/19(AHM)2022  
In  
CP(IB) 499 of 2019

**Proceedings under Section 9 IBC**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

Urja Shakti Salse & Service  
V/s  
Maktel Control & Systems Pvt Ltd

.....Applicant

.....Respondent

**Order delivered on: 15/06/2026**

**C O R A M:**

MR. SHAMMI KHAN, HON'BLE MEMBER (J)  
MR. SANJEEV SHARMA, HON'BLE MEMBER (T)

**P R E S E N T:**

For the Applicant : Mr. Jignesh Barot, Proxy Advocate  
For the Respondent : Mr. Masoom Shah, Advocate for R-1  
: Mr. Kunal Vaishnav, Advocate for R-2  
For the SBI : Mr. Rituraj Meena, Advocate a/w.  
: Mr. Mandeep Singh Saluja, Advocate

**ORDER**  
**(Hybrid Mode)**

**IA/19(AHM) 2022**

Vide order dated 27.04.2026, the Learned Counsel for the applicant sought time to file a rebuttal affidavit, which was allowed. However, the record reveals that no such rebuttal affidavit has been filed either through e-mode on the DMS Portal or in physical form. Further, no advance copy thereof has been served upon the Respondents, as confirmed by the Learned Counsel appearing for the Respondents.

Learned Proxy Counsel appeared on behalf of the Applicant. The Liquidator is not present.

Since the aforesaid order has not been complied with and no explanation has been furnished for such non-compliance. The right of the applicant to file a rebuttal affidavit, if any, is hereby closed.

Meanwhile, all appearing parties are directed to file their consolidated written submissions, along with relevant citations and a chronology of events, within a period of two weeks.

Re-list for final arguments on 11.08.2026.

*Sd/-*

**SANJEEV SHARMA**  
**MEMBER (TECHNICAL)**

*Sd/-*

**SHAMMI KHAN**  
**MEMBER (JUDICIAL)**