

**CALCUTTA HIGH COURT
IN THE CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI
APPELLATE SIDE**

WPA 2728 of 2025

Aruna Sarkar
Vs.
Siliguri Municipal Corporation & Ors.

Mr. Sanjay Mazoomdar
...For the petitioner

Mr. Ajay Singhal
Mrs. Heena Yasmin Shaikh
...For the private respondent

1. The grievance of the petitioner is directed against non-consideration of a representation dated 2 December 2025. Briefly, the petitioner alleges unauthorized construction by the private respondent.
2. On behalf of the private respondent it is submitted that the institution of the writ petition is a counter-blast and there is a pending order of demolition insofar as the premises of the petitioner is concerned.
3. In view of the submissions made on behalf of the parties, WPA 2728 of 2025 stands disposed of by directing the respondent Corporation to consider the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law and dispose of the same within a period of eight weeks from the date of communication of this order.
4. It is made clear that there has been no expression on the merits of the case and all issues are left open for the Corporation to be decided strictly in accordance with law.

5. As a matter of caution, a copy of the writ petition and representation is served upon the Advocate appearing on behalf of the Corporation.

[Ravi Krishan Kapur, J.]