

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 1220 of 2026

IN THE MATTER OF:

Siddhartha Bandyopadhyay

...Appellant(s)

Versus

**J.M Financial Asset
Reconstruction Company Ltd. & Ors.**

...Respondent(s)

Present:

For Appellant(s) : Krishnendu Datta, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Akshay Ringe, Mr. Ravi Sharma, Mr. Aditya Jain and Mr. Himanshu Tripathi, Advocates.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Abhijeet Sinha, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Chitranshul A. Sinha, Mr. Shivam Shorewala, Ms. Rakshita Bhargava, Ms. Heena Kochar and Ms. Esha Sharma, Advocates for R1.

Mr. Ankit Anandraj Shah and Mr. Ankit Kumar Tiwari, Advocates for R2.

Mr. Amit M., Mr. Abinash Agarwal and Mr. Vaibhav Sharma, Advocates.

With

Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 1241 of 2026

IN THE MATTER OF:

Bengal Jute Mill Shramik Sangha

...Appellant(s)

Versus

Howrah Mills Company Ltd. & Ors.

...Respondent(s)

Present:

For Appellant(s) : Mr. Kartikeya Sara, Adv. Zeba Khan, Ms. Swastika Roy and Mr. Utsav Singhal, Advocates.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Abhijeet Sinha, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Chitranshul A. Sinha, Mr. Shivam Shorewala, Ms. Rakshita Bhargava, Ms. Heena Kochar and Ms. Esha Sharma, Advocates for R2.

Mr. Amit M., Mr. Abinash Agarwal and Mr. Vaibhav Sharma, Advocates.

With
Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 1377 of 2026
&
I.A. No. 5346 of 2026

IN THE MATTER OF:

Abinandan Holdings Private Ltd.

...Appellant(s)

Versus

**J.M Financial Asset
Reconstruction Company Ltd. & Anr.**

...Respondent(s)

Present:

For Appellant(s) : Mr. Sumant Batra, Mr. Ankit Anandraj Shah, Mr. Ankit Kumar Tiwari, Mr. Sarthak Bhandari, Ms. Riya Kaur Arora, Mr. Aditya Jain, Ms. Samksha Yadav and Mr. S.W. Laman, Advocates.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Abhijeet Sinha, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Chitranshul A. Sinha, Mr. Shivam Shorewala, Ms. Rakshita Bhargava, Ms. Heena Kochar and Ms. Esha Sharma, Advocates for R1.

Mr. Amit M., Mr. Abinash Agarwal and Mr. Vaibhav Sharma, Advocates.

ORDER
(Hybrid Mode)

03.08.2026 All the Appellants and all the Respondents above-mentioned in the Appeals are in consensus that the Respondent will not file any reply and that the Appeals may be finally heard and decided. In view of the said consensus, and having heard Ld. Counsel for the parties, we have proceeded to hear these Appeals finally.

2. Shri Krishnendu Datta, Ld. Sr. Counsel for the Appellant in CA(AT)(Ins.) No. 1220 of 2026, has continued with his submissions. However, he has not concluded.

3. List on **10.08.2026**, under the same caption.

4. It is further submitted by the Respondent that, instead of filing the reply, they may be permitted to file written notes of 3 of 4 pages, as may be deemed fit, in reply, along with the orders and pleadings passed by this Appellate Tribunal as well as by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

5. Accordingly, we permit the Respondent to place on record, as and when required, the orders passed by this Appellant Tribunal as well as by the Hon'ble Supreme Court with regard to instant matter, and the same shall be considered as required.

[Justice Mohammad Faiz Alam Khan]
Member (Judicial)

[Naresh Salecha]
Member (Technical)

Abhishek/Rafi