

CORRECTED

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO(S). 63/2012

COMMISSIONER, HR & CE DEPARTMENT, CHENNAI

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

MANALI LAKSHMANA MUDALIAR SPECIFIC  
ENDOWMENT CHENNAI REP BY TRUSTEE  
MANALI RAMAKRISHNAN MUDALIAR

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Applications for impleadment are allowed.
2. We have heard the learned counsel and the learned Senior counsel appearing for the parties.
3. Though on merits, we find considerable force in the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the appellant, we have to take into consideration the ground reality that nearly 300 families are residing in the subject property with approximately 3000 inhabitants.
4. Thus, while dismissing the appeal, we only say that the judgment of the High Court shall not be treated as a precedent.

5. The appeal is, accordingly, dismissed.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
[M.M. SUNDRESH]

.....J.  
[VIPUL M. PANCHOLI]

NEW DELHI;  
12<sup>th</sup> FEBRUARY, 2026

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO(S). 63/2012

COMMISSIONER, HR

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

MANALI LAKSHMANA MUDALIAR SPECIFIC  
ENDOWMENT CHENNAI REP BY TRUSTEE  
MANALI RAMAKRISHNAN MUDALIAR

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

7. Applications for impleadment are allowed.
8. We have heard the learned counsel and the learned Senior counsel appearing for the parties.
9. Though on merits, we find considerable force in the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the appellant, we have to take into consideration the ground reality that nearly 300 families are residing in the subject property with approximately 3000 inhabitants.
10. Thus, while dismissing the appeal, we only say that the judgment of the High Court shall not be treated as a precedent.
11. The appeal is, accordingly, dismissed.

12. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed  
of.

.....J.  
[M.M. SUNDRESH]

.....J.  
[VIPUL M. PANCHOLI]

NEW DELHI;  
12<sup>th</sup> FEBRUARY, 2026

ITEM NO.104 **REVISED** COURT NO.5 SECTION XII-B

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A**  
**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

Civil Appeal No(s). 63/2012

COMMISSIONER, HR

Appellant(s)

**VERSUS**

MANALI LAKSHMANA MUDALIAR SPECIFIC  
ENDOWMENT CHENNAI REP BY TRUSTEE  
MANALI RAMAKRISHNAN MUDALIAR

Respondent(s)

(IA NOS.2/2016 - FOR DIRECTION  
IA NO.3/2016 - FOR IMPLEADMENT  
IA NO.3182/2026 - FOR DIRECTION  
IA NO.9417/2026 - FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.  
IA NO.180762/2025 - FOR IMPLEADMENT)

Date : 12-02-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPUL M. PANCHOLI

For Appellant(s) Mr. Balaji Subramanian, A.A.G.  
Ms. Misha Rohatgi, AOR  
Mr. Nakul Mohta, Adv.  
Mr. Ayush Kashyap, Adv.  
Mr. Amulya Upadhyay, Adv.  
Mr. Akash Kundu, Adv.

For Respondent(s): Mr. Alzo K. Joseph, Adv.  
Mr. T. R. B. Sivakumar, AOR

Mr. V. Puneedhan, Adv.  
Mr. Sriram P., AOR

Ms. Shobha Gupta, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Mrinmoi Chatterjee, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
**O R D E R**

**Applications for impleadment are allowed.**

**The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.**

**Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.**

**(SWETA BALODI)**

**ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS**

**(corrected signed order is placed on the file)**

**(POONAM VAID)**

**ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

ITEM NO.104

COURT NO.5

SECTION XII-B

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A**  
**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

Civil Appeal No(s). 63/2012

COMMISSIONER, HR

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

MANALI LAKSHMANA MUDALIAR SPECIFIC  
ENDOWMENT CHENNAI REP BY TRUSTEE  
MANALI RAMAKRISHNAN MUDALIAR

Respondent(s)

(IA NOS.2/2016 - FOR DIRECTION  
IA NO.3/2016 - FOR IMPLEADMENT  
IA NO.3182/2026 - FOR DIRECTION  
IA NO.9417/2026 - FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.  
IA NO.180762/2025 - FOR IMPLEADMENT)

Date : 12-02-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPUL M. PANCHOLI

For Appellant(s) Mr. Balaji Subramanian, A.A.G.  
Ms. Misha Rohatgi, AOR  
Mr. Nakul Mohta, Adv.  
Mr. Ayush Kashyap, Adv.  
Mr. Amulya Upadhyay, Adv.  
Mr. Akash Kundu, Adv.

For Respondent(s): Mr. Alzo K. Joseph, Adv.  
Mr. T. R. B. Sivakumar, AOR  
  
Mr. V. Puneedhan, Adv.  
Mr. Sriram P., AOR  
  
Ms. Shobha Gupta, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Mrinmoi Chatterjee, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

**Applications for impleadment are allowed.**

**The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.**

**Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.**

**(SWETA BALODI)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS**

**(POONAM VAID)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**