

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 26908/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 11-08-2025 in FA No. 1550/2024 passed by the High Court of Madhya Pradesh Principal Seat at Jabalpur]

EURO PRATIK ISPAT (INDIA) PRIVATE LIMITED

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

GEOMIN INDUSTRIES PRIVATE LIMITED & ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 189456/2026 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS

IA No. 322823/2025 - CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION

IA No. 236429/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 189457/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 236430/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 236894/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

IA No. 236428/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE LENGTHY LIST OF DATES

Date : 07-08-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK ARADHE

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Diwakar Maheshwari, Adv.
Mr. Edupuganti Shreyas, AOR

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.(NP)
Mr. Balbir Singh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Siddhartha Shukla, Adv.
Ms. Shamila Iram Fatima, Adv.
Mr. Nikhil Rohatgi, Adv.
Mr. Vishal Sinha, Adv.
Mr. Tanishk Kashyap, Adv.
Mr. Vedant Kohli, Adv.
Mr. Amit Sharma, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. The present Special Leave Petition arises out of the judgment and order dated 11.08.2025 passed by the High Court in FA No. 1550

of 2024 whereby the High Court after hearing the learned counsel for the respondent(s) remanded the matter to the Trial Court for consideration of application under Order 39 Rules 1 & 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 and pending disposal of such application made an interim arrangement to the following effect:

"43. Further. till the disposal, by the Commercial Court, of the application under Order 39 Rules 1 & 2 Code of Civil Procedure, filed by the Appellants along with the Suit, Respondent is restrained from transporting and selling the 170,000 MT of iron ore, subject matter of the agreement dated 03.04.2023. In case any part of the agreed upon stock had been removed prior to the passing of the interim order dated 07.11.2024, Respondent are restrained from transporting and selling the deficit quantity of iron ore from its other stock, if available and subject to the same being of the prescribed specification, failing which the default clauses in the agreement dated 03.04.2023 shall be applicable. "

2. This Court by order dated 19.09.2025 by taking into account the nature of controversy sought to bring about the settlement between the parties and referred the matter for mediation by appointing a mediator. It appears from record that the parties had failed to reach any settlement.

3. Having considered the matter in detail, we are of the opinion that the interest of justice would be subserved if we direct the Trial Court to take up and dispose of the application filed under Order 39 Rules 1 & 2 of the CPC within a period of two months from today.

4. Pending disposal of the application under Order 39 Rules 1 & 2 of the CPC, the interim directions granted by the High Court shall continue. It is made clear that we have not expressed any opinion

on the merits of the matter. the Commercial Court will take up and dispose of the application on its own merits.

5. All rights and contentions are left open for the parties to raise and contest before the Commercial Court.

6. With these observations, the Special Leave Petition stands disposed of.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(KAPIL TANDON)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(NIDHI WASON)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR