

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

INHERENT JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C)D. No. 16887 OF 2026

IN

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) No. 23899 OF 2024

JAMSHED KHAN

... PETITIONER

Versus

BHARTI AIRTEL

... RESPONDENT

O R D E R

1. The Review Petition is defective for the reasons stated in the Office Report. The defects were notified to the counsel for the petitioner on 02.04.2026 but he has not cured the same so far.
2. Despite the fact that there is a delay of 491 days in filing the Review Petition for which no satisfactory explanation has been furnished, we have gone through the same on merits. In our opinion, no case for review of the order dated 14.10.2024, passed in SLP(C) No. 23899 of 2024, is made out.
3. The Review Petition is, accordingly, dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits.

.....2/-

4. As a result, the pending interlocutory application, if any, also stands disposed of.

.....CJI.
(SURYA KANT)

.....J.
(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)

NEW DELHI;
MAY 21, 2026.

ITEM NO.1010

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL)Diary No(s).16887/2026 In SLP(C) No.
No.23899/2024

JAMSHED KHAN

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

BHARTI AIRTEL

Respondent(s)

[Defective Review Petition]

Date : 21-05-2026 This petition was circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Review Petition is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on
merits.

As a result, the pending interlocutory application, if any, also stands
disposed of.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR

(PREETHI DILEEP KUMAR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(Signed order is placed on the file)