

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
INHERENT JURISDICTION
REVIEW PETITION (C)D. No. 42761 OF 2026
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO.6424 of OF 2021

SPECIAL AREA DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

... PETITIONER

Versus

HARWANSH KAUR & ORS.

... RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

1. The application for oral hearing is rejected.
2. Despite the fact that there is an inordinate delay of 1702 days in filing the Review Petition for which no satisfactory explanation has been furnished, we have gone through the same on merits. In our opinion, no case for review of the order dated 22.10.2021, passed in C.A No.6424/2021, is made out.
3. The Review Petition is, accordingly, dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits.

.....2/-

4. As a result, the pending interlocutory applications also stand disposed of.

.....CJI.
(SURYA KANT)

.....J.
(M.M. SUNDRESH)

NEW DELHI;
SEPTEMBER 23, 2026.

ITEM NO.1006

SECTION III

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL)Diary No(s).42761/2026 In C.A. No. 6424/2021

SPECIAL AREA DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

HARWANSH KAUR & ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 209451/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING REVIEW PETITION
 IA No. 209447/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
 IA No. 209454/2026 - ORAL HEARING
 IA No. 243636/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL
 DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

Date : 23-09-2026 This matter was circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. The application for oral hearing is rejected.
2. The Review Petition is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits in terms of the signed order.
3. As a result, the pending interlocutory applications also stand disposed of.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
 ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR

(PREETHI DILEEP KUMAR)
 DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(Signed order is placed on the file)