

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Miscellaneous Application No. 1829/2026 in C.A. No. 14512/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 02-12-2025 in C.A. No. No. 14512/2025 passed by the Supreme Court of India]

JYOTI BUILDERS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER & ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 144635/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 144630/2026 - MODIFICATION

Date : 27-07-2026 This matter was called for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. VINOD CHANDRAN

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Kavin Gulati, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Anish Agarwal, AOR
Mr. Yogesh Adhia, Adv.
Mr. Pratik Kumar Chakma, Adv.
Mr. Abhinav Maurya, Adv.
Mr. Yashvardhan Singh, Adv.
Mr. Mohith Shivakumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Amol Nirmalkumar Suryawanshi, AOR

Mr. Siddharth Dharamdhikari, Adv.
Mr. Abhikalp Pratap Singh, AOR
Mr. Kartikey, Adv.
Ms. Shubhangi Agarwal, Adv.
Ms. Kunika Bansal, Adv.
Ms. Pallavi Sharma, AOR
Mr. Karan Batura, AOR
Mr. Palash Singhai, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. This miscellaneous application has been filed at the instance of the original appellant praying for the following modification in

the final judgment passed by this Court vide order dated 02.12.2025 in Civil Appeal No. 14512 of 2025:

"16. (a) This Hon'ble Court be pleased to correct/clarify/modify/the said Judgment dated 02.12.2025 passed in Civil Appeal No. 14512 of 2025 by substituting or correcting words "admeasuring 2700 sq. mts." with "ad-measuring 2026.50sq. mts. in paragraphs 54(ii), 73, 74 and 77(2); and/or; (b) Pass such further and other orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit in the facts and circumstances of the case."

2. We heard Mr. Shyam Divan, the learned Senior Counsel appearing for Jyoti Builders-original appellant and Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, the learned counsel appearing for the Slum Rehabilitation Authority. Mr. Dharmadhikari makes a statement after due verification and instructions from his client that the correct figure in so far as the area of subject plot is concerned should be 2026.50 square meters instead of 2700 square meters as stated in the original judgment.

3. The necessary correction shall be undertaken in this regard in the main judgment.

4. Accordingly, this miscellaneous application is disposed of in terms of prayer 16(a) of the application.

5. Pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(SACHIN KUMAR SRIVASTAVA)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(POOJA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)