November 02, 2026

To,
Manager – Listing Department,
National Stock Exchange of India Limited
Exchange Plaza, Plot No. C-1,
G Block, Bandra Kurla Complex,
Bandra East, Mumbai – 400 051

Subject: Intimation under Regulation 30 of SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 – Receipt of Work Order

Symbol: PANACHE

Dear Sir / Madam,

Pursuant to Regulation 30 read with Schedule III of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 ("Listing Regulations"), we are pleased to inform you that the Company has received a work order for Supply of Laptops with accessories.

The details required to be disclosed pursuant to SEBI Master Circular No. HO/49/14/14(7)2025-CFD-POD2/I/3762/2026 dated January 30, 2026 is enclosed as **Annexure**

Kindly take the same on your records and acknowledge the receipt.

Thanking you,
Yours faithfully,

For Panache Digilife Limited

HARSHIL RAJESH CHHEDA

Digitally signed by HARSHIL RAJESH CHHEDA
Date: 2026.11.02 19:08:14 +05'30'

Harshil Chheda
Company Secretary, Compliance Officer & Head - Legal

Place: Mumbai
Encl.: As above

Annexure

Disclosure of information pursuant to SEBI Master Circular:

Sr. No	Particulars	Details
1.	Name of the entity awarding the order(s)/contract(s);	Domestic Customer in IT sector.
2.	Significant terms and conditions of order(s)/contract(s) awarded in brief;	Supply of Laptops with accessories.
3.	Whether order(s) / contract(s) have been awarded by domestic/ international entity;	Domestic Entity
4.	Nature of order(s) / contract(s);	Supply of Laptops with accessories.
5.	Whether domestic or international;	Domestic
6.	Time period by which the order(s)/contract(s) is to be executed;	Approximately 30 days.
7.	Broad consideration or size of the order(s)/contract(s);	Rs. 51.43 crore excluding GST.
8.	Whether the promoter/ promoter group / group companies have any interest in the entity that awarded the order(s)/contract(s)? If yes, nature of interest and details thereof;	No
9.	Whether the order(s)/contract(s) would fall within related party transactions? If yes, whether the same is done at “arm’s length”	No