



2026:UHC:9553

SL. No.	Date	Office Name, report, order or proceedings in this case and signature of the clerk	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<u>ABA/435/2026</u> Saumya Singh --Applicant Versus State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent <u>Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J.</u> Mr. Anshuman Singh Chauhan, learned Counsel for applicant. 2. Mr. Bhaskar Chand Joshi, learned AGA for the State. 3. This Application has been filed for anticipatory bail in FIR No.0463 of 2026 dated 16.09.2026, registered at Police Station Rishikesh, District Dehradun under Sections 318(4), 336(3), 338, 340(2) and 61(2) of BNS, 2023. 4. Learned Counsel for applicant submits that applicant is not named in the FIR; she works as a Clerk/Computer Operator in M/s Rajdeep Enterprises; the allegations levelled in FIR against the named accused are that they were cheating people for providing them employment in AIIMS under construction in Kichha, Distt. U.S. Nagar. 5. It is contended by learned Counsel for the applicant that the applicant is 26 years' old girl who works as computer operator/clerk with outsourcing agency M/s Rajdeep Enterprises, and therefore, applicant, in good faith, put signatures on the so-called appointment orders issued by said agency; she has no connection with the crime; she had just put signatures on appointment orders under the directions of her employer/senior officer in the agency.



		6. Per contra, learned State Counsel submits that applicant admits her signature in the alleged appointment orders; the investigation is going on; and since the offences disclosed in the FIR are very serious in nature, therefore, applicant does not deserve any protection from this Court. 7. Having heard learned Counsel for the parties and having perused the contents of the FIR as well as the material placed on record, this Court is of the view that applicant is a small-scale computer operator/clerk working with the agency; it can be admitted prima facie at this stage that signature, if any, put by applicant in appointment orders were in exercise of her duties as employee of the agency. 8. In such view of the matter, applicant deserves anticipatory bail at this stage. 9. For the foregoing reasons, the present application, filed for anticipatory bail, is allowed. It is directed that in the event of arrest of the applicant-Saumya Singh, she shall be released on anticipatory bail on executing a personal bond of Rs. 30,000/- and two reliable sureties, each of the like amount, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to the following conditions: - (i) Applicant shall attend the trial court regularly and she shall not seek any unnecessary adjournment; (ii) Applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person, acquainted with the facts of this case; (iii) Applicant shall not leave the country without the previous permission of the trial court.
--	--	--



		8. It is clarified that if the applicant misuses or violates any of the conditions, imposed upon her, the prosecution agency will be free to move the Court for cancellation of the anticipatory bail. <i>RDang</i> (Pankaj Purohit, J.) 16.10.2026
--	--	---