

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 1689 of 2025 &
I.A. No. 6663 of 2025

IN THE MATTER OF:

Punjab National Bank

...Appellant

Versus

Suryakanta Acharya

...Respondent

Present:

For Appellant : Mr. Sunav Rastogi, Mr. Karan Gandhi, Ms. Riya Jain
& Mr. Sikhar Tiwari, Advocates

For Respondent : Mr. Saswat K. Acharya & Mr. Dhananjay Bhaskar Ray,
Advocates

With

Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 1720 of 2025 &
I.A. No. 6781 of 2025

IN THE MATTER OF:

Punjab National Bank

...Appellant

Versus

Atulya Kumar Dash

...Respondent

Present:

For Appellant : Mr. Sunav Rastogi, Mr. Karan Gandhi, Ms. Riya Jain
& Mr. Sikhar Tiwari, Advocates

For Respondent : Mr. Saswat K. Acharya & Mr. Dhananjay Bhaskar Ray,
Advocates

With

Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 1721 of 2025 &
I.A. No. 6783 of 2025

IN THE MATTER OF:

Punjab National Bank

...Appellant

Versus

Pramod Chandra Ratha

...Respondent

Present:

For Appellant : Mr. Sunav Rastogi, Mr. Karan Gandhi, Ms. Riya Jain & Mr. Sikhar Tiwari, Advocates

For Respondent : Mr. Dhananjaya Mishra & Mr. Ayan Rai, Advocates

With

**Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 1722 of 2025 &
I.A. No. 6785 of 2025**

IN THE MATTER OF:

Punjab National Bank

...Appellant

Versus

Lora Mitra Rath

...Respondent

Present:

For Appellant : Mr. Sunav Rastogi, Mr. Karan Gandhi, Ms. Riya Jain & Mr. Sikhar Tiwari, Advocates

For Respondent : Mr. Saswat K. Acharya & Mr. Dhananjay Bhaskar Ray, Advocates

With

**Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 1723 of 2025 &
I.A. No. 6787 of 2025**

IN THE MATTER OF:

Punjab National Bank

...Appellant

Versus

Prashanta Chandra Ratha

...Respondent

Present:

For Appellant : Mr. Sunav Rastogi, Mr. Karan Gandhi, Ms. Riya Jain & Mr. Sikhar Tiwari, Advocates

For Respondent : Mr. Saswat K. Acharya & Mr. Dhananjay Bhaskar Ray, Advocates

ORDER
(Hybrid Mode)

18.05.2026 Nobody is present on behalf of the Appellant, while Learned Counsel for the Respondent in all the appeals are present.

Learned Counsel for the sole Respondent in Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 1720 of 2025 submits that he has filed replies in all the appeal except this appeal and, therefore as a matter of last resort two weeks' time be granted for the purpose of filing the same.

Having regard to the request made by Learned Counsel for the Respondent in Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 1720 of 2025, we permit him to file the reply within two weeks from today, with a clear stipulation that no further time for this purpose shall be granted in future.

Learned Counsel for the sole Respondent in Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 1720 of 2025 informs that, in the cause list, the name of the counsel for the Respondent has been wrongly published, whereas he (Ayan Rai) & Dhananjay Mishra have filed their Power of Attorney/Vakalatnama on behalf of the sole Respondent.

Keeping in view the information provided by Learned Counsel for the Respondent in Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 1720 of 2025, we direct the Registry to print/publish the names of Ayan Rai & Dhananjay Mishra as counsels for the Respondent whenever the appeal is next listed.

At this juncture, Learned Counsel for the Appellant in all the appeals appears and submits that she may also be permitted to file rejoinder to the

replies already filed by the Respondent, as well as to the reply which may be filed by the Respondent in Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 1720 of 2025.

Keeping in view the request made by Learned Counsel for the Appellant, we direct that the Respondent in Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 1720 of 2025, would file the reply in that appeal with an advance copy to Learned Counsel for the Appellant, within two weeks from today, and one week thereafter shall be available to the Appellant to file its rejoinder, if any.

We also permit Learned Counsel for the Appellant to file rejoinder in all the other appeals within two weeks from today, if the same has already been not filed.

Accordingly, list on **17.07.2026**.

[Justice Mohammad Faiz Alam Khan]
Member (Judicial)

[Indevar Pandey]
Member (Technical)

KS/MR