

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 24617/2024

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 19-12-2023 in WP No. 8475/2022 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay]

MACHHIMAR COOPERATIVE CREDIT SOC. LIMITED

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 129011/2024 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING
IA No. 129010/2024 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 197599/2024 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 197258/2024 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 197256/2024 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

Date : 03-08-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK ARADHE

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Pravartak Suhas Pathak, AOR
Mr. Ritu Raj, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Samarvir Singh, Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.
Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR
Mr. Shrirang B. Varma, Adv.

Mr. Rajesh Kumar Gautam, Adv.
Mr. Anant Gautam, AOR
Mr. Vibhu Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Likivi K Jakhalu, Adv.
Mr. Aman Gahlot, Adv.
Ms. Isha Gaur, Adv.
Mr. Rishi Chauhan, Adv.
Ms. Azal Aekram, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Mr. Rajesh Kumar Gautam, learned counsel appearing on behalf

of the respondent no. 3 (purchaser) submits that respondent no.4 (borrower) has already undertaken to make the payments and would submit that the High Court has already recorded his statement. This is evident from the paragraph no. 10 of the impugned judgment, that is extracted herein for ready reference:

"10. Mr. Kapadia, the learned counsel appearing for Respondent No.4-Borrower would submit that the borrower is ready and willing to repay the outstanding loan amount of the Society. He would confirm sale of the Flat in favour of the Petitioner. He would submit that Respondent No.4 was without any income after COVID pandemic and that he has recently secured a job and is earning salary of Rs.15,000/-per month, out of which he is willing to pay an amount of Rs.10,000/-to the Society towards repayment of the loan."

3. In view of above, the petitioner-Society has sufficient security to recover the money. In the facts and circumstances of the case, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment passed by the High Court.

4. The Special Leave Petition is dismissed and the accompanying interlocutory application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(KAPIL TANDON)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(NIDHI WASON)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR