

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

INHERENT JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C)D. No. 12790 OF 2026

IN

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) No. 6038 OF 2025

CHENNAI LAW FIRM

... PETITIONER

Versus

REYVISH ASSOCIATES (P) LTD

... RESPONDENT

O R D E R

1. The application for oral hearing is rejected.
2. The Review Petition is defective for the reasons stated in the Office Report. The defects were notified to the counsel for the petitioner vide this Registry's letter dated 20.03.2026 but he has not cured the same so far.
3. Despite the fact that there is a delay of 333 days in filing the Review Petition for which no satisfactory explanation has been furnished, we have gone through the same on merits. In our opinion, no case for review of the order dated 28.02.2025, passed in SLP(C) No. 6038 of 2025, is made out.
4. The Review Petition is, accordingly, dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits.

.....2/-

5. As a result, the pending interlocutory application, if any, also stands disposed of.

.....CJI.
(SURYA KANT)

.....J.
(NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGH)

NEW DELHI;
JULY 21, 2026.

ITEM NO.1013

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL)Diary No(s).12790/2026 In SLP(C) No.
No.6038/2025

CHENNAI LAW FIRM

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

REYVISH ASSOCIATES (P) LTD

Respondent(s)

IA No. 68415/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING REVIEW PETITION
IA No. 68414/2026 - ORAL HEARING

Date : 21-07-2026 This matter was circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGH

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

The application for oral hearing is rejected.

The Review Petition is dismissed on the ground of delay
as well as on merits in terms of the signed order.

As a result, the pending interlocutory application, if
any, also stands disposed of.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR

(PREETHI DILEEP KUMAR)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(Signed order is placed on the file)