BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA

Appeal No. 7126 of 2026

Suriyanarayanan Ramachandran	:	Appellant
	Vs	
CPIO, SEBI, Mumbai	:	Respondent

ORDER

1. The appellant had filed an application dated September 06, 2026 (received by SEBI through RTI MIS portal) under the Right to Information Act, 2005 ("**RTI Act**"). The respondent, by a letter dated October 05, 2026, responded to the application filed by the appellant. The appellant filed an appeal dated October 05, 2026 (Reg. No. SEBIH/A/E/26/00378). I have perused the application, the response of the respondent and the appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.

2. **Queries in the application** - The appellant, in his application, sought the following information:

   "Would like to get the details of below reference numbers from Bombay Stock Exchange. Kindly provide the following details of given transactions.

   1. *Order Status, order quantity. If the Status as rejected remarks. NIVAKA FASHIONS LIMITED 07-Oct-2024 10:36:39 Reference no: 1728271800001278102 Nandan Denims (NANDEN) 22-Oct-2024 09:53:06 Reference no: 1729567800000081350 to 1729567800000081359. RAJNISH RETAIL LIMITED (SHEDIA) 27-Nov-2024 12:27:32 Reference no: 1732678200001067393 NECTAR LIFE : 22-Oct-2024 09:37:00 Reference no: 1729567800000058078."*

3. **Reply of the Respondent** – The respondent, in response to the application, stated that the information sought is not available with SEBI.

4. **Ground of appeal** – The appellant has filed the appeal on the ground that he was provided incomplete, misleading or false information.

5. I have perused the application and the response provided thereto. I note that the respondent has categorically stated that the requested information is not available with SEBI. I note that the respondent can only provide information that is available in the records. In this context, I note that the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *Central Board of Secondary Education & Anr. vs. Aditya Bandopadhyay & Ors* (Judgment dated August 9, 2011) held that *"The RTI Act provides access to all information that is available and existing. This is clear from a combined reading of section 3 and the definitions of `information' and `right to information' under clauses (f) and (j) of section 2 of the Act. If a public authority has any information in the form of data or analysed data, or abstracts, or statistics, an applicant may access such information, subject to the exemptions in section 8 of the Act. But where the information sought is not a part of the record of a public authority, and where such information is not required to be maintained under any law or the rules or regulations of the public authority, the Act does not cast an obligation upon the public authority, to collect or collate such non-available information and then furnish it to an applicant*." Further, I note that the Hon'ble Central Information Commission in the matter of *Sh. Pattipati Rama Murthy vs. CPIO, SEBI* (Decision dated July 8, 2013), held: *"… if it (SEBI) does not have any such information in its possession, the CPIO cannot obviously invent one for the benefit of the Appellant. There is simply no information to be given."* Accordingly, I do not find any deficiency in the response of the respondent.

6. In view of the above observations, I find that there is no need to interfere with the decision of the respondent. The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

RUCHI CHOJER
Digitally signed by RUCHI CHOJER
Date: 2026.11.02 15:36:26 +05'30'

Place: Mumbai
Date: November 02, 2026

RUCHI CHOJER
APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RTI ACT
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA