

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1988 of 2026

In
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1858 of 2025

CNR No. BRHC010744902026

=====

Lalan Prasad Son of Inderdeo Yadav, P.S. Beur, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna Bihar.
2. Sri Dipak Kumar Singh, the Additional Chief Secretary, Land Reforms Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna, Bihar.
3. Mr. Animesh Parashar, the Commissioner, Patna Division, Patna Bihar.
4. Mr. Kundan Kumar, the District Magistrate, Patna, Bihar.
5. Md. Kritika Mishra, The Sub Division Officer, Patna Sadar, Patna cum SDM, Patna, Bihar.
6. Mr. Kartikeya Kumar Sharma, the Deputy Superintended of Police, Patna, Bihar.
7. Mr. Rajeev Ranjan, the Anchal Adhikari, Patna Sadar, Area Gardanibagh, Patna, Bihar.
8. The SHO, Beur, Patna, Bihar.
9. Shri Yashpal Meena, the Municipal Commissioner, Patna Municipal Corporation, Patna.
10. Sri Manish Kumar, the Executive Officer, Patliputra Anchal, Patna Municipal Corporation, Patna.
11. Shri Prabhat Ranjan, the Executive Officer, New Capital Circle, Patna Municipal Corporation, Patna.
12. Kanhaiya Prasad, Encroachment officer, Patliputra Anchal, Patna Municipal Corporation, Patna.
13. Ravish Kumar, Encroachment officer, Patliputra Anchal, Patna Municipal Corporation, Patna.
14. Manish Kumar, S/o Late Radhey Ram, R/v Mahavir Colony, Vidyapati Marg, Road No. 2, P.s Beur, District- Patna.
15. Upendra Sharma, S/o - Late Bundi Mistri, R/v - Mahavir Colony, Vidyapati Marg, Road No. 2, P.s Beur. District- Patna.
16. Shatrughan Prasad, S/o Late Ram Lakshman Prasad, R/v - Mahavir Colony, Vidyapati Marg, Road No. - 2, P.s - Beur, District- Patna.
17. Meena Devi, W/o- Devi Dayal Prasad, R/v- Mahavir Colony, Vidyapati Marg, Road No. 2, P.s Beur, District- Patna.
18. Sanni Kumar, S/o - Madheshwar Paswan, R/v - Mahavir Colony, Vidyapati Marg, Road No. 2, P.s - Beur, District- Patna.
19. Rahul Kumar, S/o Deepak Kr. Singh, R/v Mahavir Colony, Vidyapati Marg,



Road No. 2, P.s Beur, District- Patna.

20. Ravi Raushan Das, S/o- Anil Kumar Das, R/v - Mahavir Colony, Vidyapati Marg, Road No. 2, P.s Beur, District- Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Nitish Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Government Pleader 26

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 5 01-10-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner Mr. Shashank Chandra and learned AC to GP- 40 Ms. Archana Jha assisted by Mr. Shankar Kumar Thakur and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Patna Municipal Corporation Mr. Sanjay Prakash Verma and learned Advocate Mr. Javed Gaffar Khan.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the PMC Mr. Sanjay Prakash Verma submits that a detailed order was recorded on 22.09.2026 in presence of the SDO, Patna Sadar and the SHO, Beur PS. It is submitted that he has gone through the order dated 22.09.2026 and he has instructions to make submissions on behalf of the Municipal Commissioner that if petitioner will construct their boundary, the corporation will have no objection. It is also assured that during the pendency of Criminal Misc. No. 6120 of 2026 no adverse action shall be taken against the petitioner.
3. At this stage, learned GP- 40 also submits in the



same wane that State will also have no issues if the petitioner construct his boundary wall. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the moment the instant contempt application is disposed of the authorities will again come on his land, on which the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the PMC and the State jointly submits that authorities are aware of the consequences which would entail in the event if the undertaking given before this Court is breached.

4. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Patna Municipal Corporation and the learned GP- 40. The Court is not inclined to keep the contempt proceeding pending in view of their undertaking given to the Court.

5. As such, the contempt application is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file afresh, if need arises.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

