

OUT TODAY

ITEM NO.33

COURT NO.8

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 26791/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 24-04-2026 in WPL No.13998/2026 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay]

KARAMSHI JETHABHAI SOMAIYA TRUST

Petitioner

VERSUS

THE DISTRICT DEPUTY REGISTRAR,
COOPERATIVE SOCIETIES 2 & ORS.

Respondents

I.A. No.136338/2026-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

I.A. No.136340/2026-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

I.A. No.136336/2026-PERMISSION TO FILE SLP WITHOUT CERTIFIED/PLAIN COPY OF IMPUGNED ORDER

Date : 07-05-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPANKAR DATTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv. (Not Present)
Mr. P. B. Suresh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Bharti, Adv.
Mr. Santosh Pathak, Adv.
Mr. Keshav Seghal, Adv.
Mr. Kailash Pathak, Adv.
Mr. Harsh Thakkar, Adv.
Mr. Nimish Lotlikar, Adv.
Mr. Deepesh Kadam, Adv.
Ms. Deeksha Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Puspita Basak, Adv.
Ms. Aarti Mahto, Adv.
Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, AOR
Mr. Vikas Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Ayushi Shetty, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Kavin Gulati, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Anish Agarwal, AOR

Mr. Bharat Jain, Adv.
Mr. Pratik Chakma, Adv.
Ms. Natasha Bagga, Adv.
Mr. Abhinav Maurya, Adv.
Mr. Yashvardhan Singh, Adv.
Mr. Dushyant Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Hrishikesh Tajane, Adv.

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R**

1. Vide the impugned order, the High Court has declined to grant interim relief during pendency of the writ petition.
2. Having perused the impugned order, we are not inclined to interfere therewith; hence, the special leave petition is dismissed with the observation that any development during the pendency of the writ petition shall abide by its outcome.
3. Since the Joint Sub-Registrar, (Class-2) Mumbai No.28 vide notice dated 10th April, 2026 had invited the petitioner to submit its objection, we clarify that this order of dismissal of the special leave petition shall not preclude the petitioner to raise all such objections that are available to him in law before such Registrar.
4. Similarly, the private respondents in the writ petition shall also be entitled to raise all contentions in defence.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

**(RASHMI DHYANI PANT)
ASST. REGISTRAR-CUM-PS**

**(SUDHIR KUMAR SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)**