

THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI
Interlocutory Application No.4662/2026
Un-numbered Company Appeal (AT) (Ins.) No. ____/2026
(F.No.25.05.2026/NCLAT/UR/05664)

In the matter of:

Bank of Baroda

.... Appellant

Vs.

Deepak Mittal

..... Respondents

Appearance: Maitrayee Shrivastva, Advocate for Applicant

13th July, 2026
(Hybrid Mode)

This is an application to extend the time granted for curing the defects.

The facts giving rise to present IA (re-filing) are that the Appellant e-filed the Memo of Appeal on 22.05.2026. The Office after scrutiny of the Memo of Appeal on 25.05.2026, intimated the defects to the Appellant on the same day. The Appellant re-filed the Appeal on 07.07.2026. It is stated in the IA that the said delay in re-filing of appeal was caused inadvertently and has been caused on account of removing of defects raised on 25.05.2026 and arranging the typed copies of the dim annexures. The Delay of 36 days caused in re-filing the aforesaid appeal after removal of defect is neither intentional nor deliberate, hence the same may be condoned.

Heard Ld. Counsel for Applicant and perused the averments made in the IA as well as Office report.

The Appellant was required to re-file the Memo of Appeal within seven days from the date of intimation of the defects. However, the Appellant re-filed the Memo of Appeal with a delay of 36 days, hence, the case be placed before the Hon'ble Bench with defect for appropriate orders.

List the case before the Hon'ble Bench under the heading 'for admission with defect (fresh case)'.

(Raj Kumar)
Registrar