

SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<u>UKHC010159912026</u> <u>CRLR/698/2026</u> M/s Siddhballi Mobiles --Revisionist Versus State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent <u>Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.</u> Ms. Aastha Vishwakarma and Mr. Harshit Jain, Advocates for the revisionist. 2. Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Addl. A.G. alongwith Mr. S.C. Dumka, A.G.A. and Mr. Nikhil Bisht, Brief Holder for the State. 3. Mr. Tejas Agarwal, Advocate for the complainant/respondent no. 2. 4. The present criminal revision has been preferred against the judgment and order dated 12.03.2026 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate, Kotdwar, District Pauri Garhwal in Criminal Case No. 1606 of 2022, whereby the learned Magistrate concerned has convicted the revisionist under Section 138 of N.I. Act and sentenced him to undergo simple imprisonment for a period of one year alongwith a fine of Rs.7,29,105/- and in default of payment of fine, to undergo further three months' simple imprisonment. Challenge has also been made to the judgment and order dated 22.08.2026 passed by learned 1st Additional Sessions Judge, Kotdwar, District Pauri Garhwal in Criminal Appeal No. 27 of 2026, whereby, the learned

		Appellate Court dismissed the appeal preferred by the revisionist. 5. Now, a compounding application, jointly signed by learned counsel for the respondent no.2 and learned counsel for the revisionist, has been filed duly supported by affidavits of the parties. Revisionist is in judicial custody. In the compounding application, affidavit has been filed by his son. 6. Learned counsel for the revisionist submits that the parties have now entered into a settlement, therefore, the matter needs to be compounded. 7. Learned counsel for the respondent no. 2 submits that dispute has now been amicably settled between the parties, therefore, his client wants to close the matter to ensure that peace is restored. 8. Today, respondent no. 2 is present before this Court, who is duly identified by his counsel. The Court interacted with respondent no. 2. She fairly submits that she has received the entire check amount and she does not want to proceed further with the case. 9. Both the parties also made a statement that, in view of the settlement, they want to close the matter. 10. Having regard to the nature of offence and also considering the broad guidelines issued by Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of Gian Singh Vs State of Punjab reported in (2012) 10 SCC 303 and Narinder Singh & others Vs State of Punjab & another reported in (2014) 6 SCC 466, request of the revisionist deserves to be acceded to. 11. Since the parties have entered into a compromise, therefore, possibility of the trial
--	--	--

			resulting into conviction of the accused is remote and bleak and, that being so, continuation of criminal proceedings would visit the accused with great oppression, prejudice and injustice. Rather, it would tantamount to abuse of process of law. Ends of justice would be met if criminal proceedings are put to an end, because this would allow the parties to translate their decision to live in peace in the reality. Resolution of a dispute by way of a compromise between two warring groups, therefore, should attract the immediate and prompt attention of a Court which should endeavour to give full effect to the same unless such compromise is abhorrent to lawful composition of the society or would promote savagery. 12. In view of settlement arrived at between the parties, the present criminal revision is allowed. Consequently, the judgment and order dated 12.03.2026 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate, Kotdwar, District Pauri Garhwal in Criminal Case No. 1606 of 2022 as well as the judgment and order dated 22.08.2026 passed by learned 1st Additional Sessions Judge, Kotdwar, District Pauri Garhwal in Criminal Appeal No. 27 of 2026 and the entire proceedings arising out therefrom, are hereby quashed <i>qua</i> the revisionist. 13. Compounding application is, accordingly, disposed of. 14. Revisionist is in judicial custody. He shall be released from judicial custody forthwith, if not wanted in any other case. (Alok Mahra J.) 10.09.2026
			Ujjwal

