



HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition Service Single No. 2606 of 2026

09 October, 2026

Ram Chandra Singh and Another --Petitioners

Versus

State Of Uttarakhand and Others --Respondents

Presence:-

Mr. B.D. Upadhyaya, learned senior counsel assisted by Mr. Tushar Upadhyaya, learned counsel for petitioners.

Mr. R.C. Joshi, learned Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand/respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Mr. Lalit Miglani, learned counsel for respondent No.3-Nagar Nigam, Haridwar.

Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J. (Oral)

Petitioners are working on the post of Driver and Helper since 2004 and 2002 respectively, on contract basis in the respondent-Nagar Nigam. Petitioner No.2 was appointed on the post of Lineman on a fixed salary since 2006. Both the petitioners are working on the said posts on contract basis since 2004 and 2006.

2. By means of present writ petition, petitioners sought mandamus directing the respondents to regularize their services forthwith in accordance with the Regularization Rules, 2013, as amended in the year 2025 and on regularization of their services grant all consequential benefits admissible to them in accordance with law and further another ancillary relief.

3. Petitioners contend that vide Government Order dated 22.01.2014, Regularization Rules 2013 were made applicable in the Nagar Nigam, Municipalities and Nagar Panchayat. They further contend that vide



2026:UHC:9208

amendment through the notification dated 05.12.2025, employees working on Daily wages, Work-charged, Contract, Fixed Pay, Part time and Ad-hoc basis who have rendered 10 years of service as such till 04.12.2018, were made eligible for regularization on the post held by them. When the services of petitioners and other similarly situated persons were not regularized for a long time, they submitted various combined representations to the respondents requesting their regularization. But the said representations are still pending consideration.

4. Learned senior counsel for petitioners submits that if petitioners have given liberty to make fresh representation for redressal of their grievances and if a direction is issued to competent authority to decide the said representation within a time bound manner, the ends of justice would be met.

5. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.3-Nagar Nigam Haridwar has no objection if such an order is passed by this Court.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of, with liberty to petitioners to make representation to the competent authority in Nagar Nigam Haridwar. If they make representation within two weeks from today, decision thereupon shall be taken by the competent authority, as per law, within two months thereafter.

(Pankaj Purohit, J.)

09.10.2026

PN
PREETI
NEGI