NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Company Appeal (AT) (Ins.) No. 2154 of 2026

IN THE MATTER OF:

Subhash Dabas **...Appellant(s)**

Versus

Canara Bank Ltd. & Anr. **...Respondent(s)**

Present:

For Appellant(s) : Mr. Arun Kathpalia, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Pallav Sharma, Mr. Rudra Chauhan, Ms. Supreeti Chauhan, Mr. Nipun Sharma, Ms. Priya Mishra, Advocates.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Karan Gandhi, Ms. Riya Jain, Advocates for R-1.

Ms. Shipra, Ms. Himanshi Chandani, Advocates for R-2 (RP).

With

Company Appeal (AT) (Ins.) No. 2155 of 2026

IN THE MATTER OF:

Suraj Mal Dabas **...Appellant(s)**

Versus

Canara Bank Ltd. & Anr. **...Respondent(s)**

Present:

For Appellant(s) : Mr. Pallav Sharma, Mr. Rudra Chauhan, Ms. Supreeti Chauhan, Mr. Nipun Sharma, Ms. Priya Mishra, Advocates.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Karan Gandhi, Ms. Riya Jain, Advocates for R-1.

Ms. Shipra, Ms. Himanshi Chandani, Advocates for R-2 (RP).

Cont'd.../

O R D E R
(Hybrid Mode)

20.11.2026: Mr. Pratham Bansal appearing for the Respondent No.1/ Canara Bank informs that arguing counsel is busy in Court No.1 and the appeals may kindly be passed over.

2. Having regard to the request made on behalf of the Respondent No.1, the appeals are passed over.

3. Mr. Karan Gandhi appearing for the Respondent Bank submits that in view of the decision taken on 19.11.2026 his submissions may be treated to be confined on the point of admission of appeal and also with regard to interim prayer made by the Appellant and thereafter, irrespective of the decision on admission and on interim application, reasonable time thereafter be provided to file reply.

4. Shri Karan Gandhi, learned counsel for the Respondent No.1 is continue with his submissions, however, could not conclude.

5. List this appeal on **25.11.2026** to be taken up at **12:00 noon**.

[Justice Mohammad Faiz Alam Khan]
Member (Judicial)

[Naresh Salecha]
Member (Technical)

Archana/km