

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).25939-25940/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 29-05-2026 in SPLA No.700/2025 29-05-2026 in SPLA No.701/2025 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad]

ANANDH SUBRAMANIAM

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 220282/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

Date : 07-08-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARAVIND KUMAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPUL M. PANCHOLI

For Petitioner(s) : Ms. Meenakshi Arora, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Avneesh Tripathi, Adv.
Ms. Anindita Mitra, AOR
Ms. Srishti Ghoshal, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Tushar Mehta, Solicitor General
Mr. Manish Paliwal, AOR
Mr. Rohan Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Kriti Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Srashti Sahu, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Issue notice.
2. Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that during the pendency of the present proceedings, the petitioner be granted the protection of continuing in the accommodation where he is residing at present on account of extreme hardship that would be caused in the event of his eviction from the residential premises. Pursuant to the order of the Division Bench, namely, the impugned order, the authorities are said to have passed an

order of compulsory retirement against petitioner which is challenged and pending in the Writ Application No.11318/2026 and the matter has been ordered to be listed on 20.08.2026. In fact, the prayer similar to the one which is made now in this petition and noted above had also been made in the Writ Application and the learned Single Judge has observed in the aforesaid Writ Application to the following effect:

“15. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has no objection if the petitioner is permitted to continue in the accommodation for a period of one month. However, it shall be open to the petitioner to approach the competent authority by filing an appropriate application setting out the hardship being faced by him, including the fact that his mother is 85 years of age and the sudden crisis that has befallen him. In the event such an application is submitted, it shall be open to the respondents to consider the same in accordance with law, subject to the petitioner clearing all outstanding arrears, if any.”

3. In light of the said observation made, we do not propose to pass any orders in that regard and it will be open to the petitioner to seek for appropriate relief before the concerned authorities and in the event of same being negated before the jurisdictional High Court in accordance with law.

4. List after four weeks. Counter-affidavit may be filed within three weeks.

(NEHA GUPTA)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(AVGV RAMU)
COURT MASTER (NSH)