

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cont. Case (Cvl.) No.270 of 2020

Shivanand Jha aged about 70 years, Son of Late Vaidehi Sharan Jha, Retired Accounts clerk residence at House no-55/Old A.G. Colony Kadru P.O. & P.S.-Doranda District-Ranchi PIN no.834002

..... **Petitioner**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Mr. Sanjay Ojha, Technical Secretary to Managing Director the Bihar State Construction Corporation Limited at Khwaja Imli, P.O. & P.S. Anishabad, Patna, Bihar, PIN 800002
3. Nitish Kumar More, Liquidator, Bihar State Construction Corporation Limited, 18 Ravindra Sarani Gate no-1, 7th floor Room no-701, Kolkata, West Bengal-700001

..... **Opp. Parties**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUJIT NARAYAN PRASAD

For the Petitioner : Mrs. Leena Mukherjee, Advocate
For O.P. No.1 : Mr. Ashish Kr Shekhar, AC to SC(L&C)-II
For O.P. No.2 : Mr. Swapan Maji, Advocate

19/Dated: 15.07.2026

1. Show cause has been filed stating *inter-alia* therein that a sum of Rs.1,29,905/- has been paid.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that although, the aforesaid amount has been paid but still the direction which has been passed by this Court regarding enhancement of age of retirement from 58 to 60 years, has not been carried out.
3. Mr. Swapan Maji, learned counsel appearing for the concerned opposite party has submitted that the issue of enhancement of age is now sub-judice before the Hon'ble Apex Court and whatever decision will be taken by the Hon'ble Apex Court that will be followed with respect to all identically placed persons and accordingly, the benefit will be granted.

4. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
5. So far as the issue of disbursement of amount of P.F. is concerned, the same has been paid, as would be evident from the order appended in the supplementary show cause, which has also been admitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner.
6. So far as the issue of giving benefit of enhancement of age is concerned, the same will depend upon the decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court, since, the matter is pending before it.
7. Accordingly, the instant contempt case stands disposed of.

(Sujit Narayan Prasad, J.)

15.07.2026
Rohit/-