

ITEM NO.55

COURT NO.8

SECTION XVI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No. 46595/2024

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 09-08-2024 in GA-COM Nos. 2/2024 and 3/2024 09-08-2024 in EC No. 91/2023 passed by the High Court at Calcutta]

SILIGURI JALPAIGURI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

BENGAL UNITECH UNIVERSAL SILIGURI PROJECTS LIMITED Respondent(s)

(IA No. 33565/2026 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS, IA No. 10359/2026 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS, IA No. 278692/2025 - CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION, IA No. 17225/2025 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN REFILING / CURING THE DEFECTS, IA No. 10585/2026 - EX-PARTE STAY, IA No. 17222/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 10581/2026 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT and IA No. 163571/2025 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT)

Date : 03-09-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

For Petitioner(s) :

Ms. Madhavi Divan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Kunal Mimani, AOR
Mr. Akshay Luthra, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

Mr. Prashant Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Aniket Sancheti, Adv.
Mr. Kumar Aryan, Adv.
Mr. Dhawesh Pahuja, AOR

Mr. Siddharth Batra, AOR
Mr. Chinmay Dubey, Adv.
Ms. Shivani Chawla, Adv.
Mr. Mehul Gulati, Adv.
Mr. Akshit Lunial, Adv.
Mr. Bhavya Bachani, Adv.

Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, AOR

Mr. Rohit Dutta, Adv.

Mr. Anas Tanwir, AOR
Mr. Ananya Banerjee, Adv.
Mr. Shyam Kishore Maurya, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel, the Court made the following
O R D E R

Ms. Madhavi Divan, learned senior counsel, appearing for the Siliguri Jalpaiguri Development Authority¹, states that steps will be taken to bring a quietus to the matter by splitting up the land in question so as to lease it out piecemeal. She states that the process in that regard may take six weeks.

The matter is adjourned to enable the SJDA to do all that is necessary to raise sufficient funds to meet the decree holder's long pending dues.

Further, as the learned counsel appearing for SLRL Agencies Pvt. Ltd. now states that the said entity is not willing to abide and stand by the offer made by it earlier for purchase of the leasehold rights, it would be open to it to approach the High Court for release of the amounts deposited by it.

In the meanwhile, it would also be open to the decree holder to approach the SJDA for release of part of the decretal dues. If such a representation/request is made by the decree holder, the SJDA shall consider it in all seriousness.

(DEEPAK GUGLANI)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(PREETI SAXENA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)

1 "SJDA", for short