

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA

Appeal No. 7051 of 2026

Anonymous : Appellant

Vs

CPIO, SEBI, Mumbai : Respondent

ORDER

1. The appellant had filed an application dated July 30, 2026 (received by SEBI through RTI MIS portal) under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“**RTI Act**”). The respondent, by a letter dated August 17, 2026, responded to the application filed by the appellant. The appellant filed an appeal dated August 18, 2026 (Reg. No. SEBIH/A/E/26/00317). I have perused the application, the response of the respondent and the appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
2. **Queries in the application** - The appellant, in his application, sought the following information:

“Please inform official email address, office phone number & office address of Chairman and CEO of KFin Technologies Ltd.

Pl note, do not provide above information of customer service / investor service / Personal Assistants / any other employee instead.”
3. **Reply of the Respondent** – The respondent, in response to query nos. 1 & 2 in the application, informed that the official email address, office phone number and office address of the Chairman and CEO of Kfin Technologies Limited are not available with SEBI. Notwithstanding the aforesaid, the respondent provided the contact details of Kfin Technologies Limited.
4. **Ground of appeal** – The appellant has filed the appeal on the ground that he was provided incomplete, misleading or false information.

5. I have perused the application and the response provided thereto. I note that the respondent has categorically stated that the requested information is not available with SEBI. I note that the respondent can only provide information that is available in the records. In this context, I note that the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *Central Board of Secondary Education & Anr. vs. Aditya Bandopadhyay & Ors* (Judgment dated August 9, 2011) held that *"The RTI Act provides access to all information that is available and existing. This is clear from a combined reading of section 3 and the definitions of 'information' and 'right to information' under clauses (f) and (j) of section 2 of the Act. If a public authority has any information in the form of data or analysed data, or abstracts, or statistics, an applicant may access such information, subject to the exemptions in section 8 of the Act. But where the information sought is not a part of the record of a public authority, and where such information is not required to be maintained under any law or the rules or regulations of the public authority, the Act does not cast an obligation upon the public authority, to collect or collate such non-available information and then furnish it to an applicant."* Accordingly, I do not find any deficiency in the response of the respondent.
6. Notwithstanding the aforesaid, the respondent had provided the contact details of Kfin Technologies Limited. The appellant may be guided by the same.
7. I also note that appellant in his appeal has challenged the correctness of the response to his application. It is pertinent to note that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in *Narendra Tyagi vs. Assistant Director (CPIO)* (Decision dated December 06, 2023) has held: *"it is clear that dispute as regards the correctness of information provided under the RTI Act, or any other dispute or controversy, cannot be adjudicated in proceedings under the RTI Act. The CPIO is only required to supply all the information/ documents within his access. Whether or not such information as provided by the CPIO under the RTI Act is incorrect in any manner, is not the domain of consideration or determination under the RTI proceedings."* In view of the aforesaid observation, I find that the said submission of the appellant does not warrant consideration at this stage. Accordingly, I do not find any deficiency in the response of the respondent.
8. In view of the above observations, I find that there is no need to interfere with the decision of the respondent. The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

Place: Mumbai

Date: September 11, 2026

RUCHI CHOJER

APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RTI ACT
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA