

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
INHERENT JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C) No. 9908/2026

IN

CONTEMPT PETITION (C) DIARY NO.19856/2024

IN

WRIT PETITION (C) 784/2015

LOK PRAHARI THROUGH ITS GENERAL
SECRETARY SN SHUKLA ADVOCATE

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DR. RAJIV MANI

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

1. Application for oral hearing is rejected.
2. We have gone through the review petition and the connected papers. We do not find any error, much less apparent, in the Judgment/order impugned, warranting its reconsideration.
3. The review petition is, accordingly, dismissed.
4. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

.....J.
[J.B.PARDIWALA]

.....J.
[K.V. VISWANATHAN]

New Delhi
21st July, 2026

cd

ITEM NO.1002

SECTION PIL-W

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

R.P.(C) No. 9908/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 16-04-2026 in D No. No. 19856/2024 16-04-2026 in W.P.(C) No.784/2015 passed by the Supreme Court of India]

LOK PRAHARI THROUGH ITS GENERAL
SECRETARY SN SHUKLA ADVOCATE

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DR. RAJIV MANI

Respondent(s)

IA No. 155772/2026 - ORAL HEARING

IA No. 155774/2026 - PERMISSION TO APPEAR AND ARGUE IN PERSON

Date : 21-07-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Application for oral hearing is rejected.
2. The Review Petition is dismissed in terms of the signed order.
3. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(CHANDRESH)

ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(Signed order is placed on the file)

(POOJA SHARMA)

COURT MASTER (NSH)