

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRIMINAL) Diary No(s). 26401/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 17-11-2025 in CRMP No. 1000/2022 passed by the High Court of Chhatisgarh at Bilaspur]

REGIONAL OFFICER, CHHATTISGARH ENVIRONMENT
PROTECTION BOARD

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STEEL AUTHORITY OF INDIA LIMITED & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 170712/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING
IA No. 170713/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 169281/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 169013/2026 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT
IA No. 169279/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

WITH Diary No(s). 30637/2026

(IA No. 170096/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING
IA No. 170093/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 169285/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 169004/2026 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT
IA No. 169283/2026 - PERMISSION TO PLACE ADDITIONAL FACTS AND
GROUNDS)

Diary No(s). 30652/2026

(IA No. 170253/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING
IA No. 170105/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 170252/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 170271/2026 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT
IA No. 170104/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 05-06-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPANKAR DATTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA
(PARTIAL COURT WORKING DAYS BENCH)

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. K. Parameshwar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Abhinay, AOR
Mr. Pooran Chand Roy, Adv.
Mrs. Kirti Vyas, Adv.
Mr. Kartik Rajpurohit, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

**Mr. Tushar Mehta, Solicitor General
Mr. Rupesh Kumar, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Pankhuri Shrivastava, Adv.
Ms. Neelam Sharma, AOR
Mr. Deepankar Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Mukul, Adv.**

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R**

1. The special leave petitions have been filed 68 days, 14 days and 279 days respectively beyond the period of limitation.
2. Cause shown for condonation of delay is not sufficient. The applications for condonation of delay stand rejected.
3. Consequently, the special leave petitions are dismissed as time-barred.
4. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

**(ANITA MALHOTRA)
AR-CUM-PS**

**(SUDHIR KUMAR SHARMA)
COURT MASTER**