

2026:PHHC:097187



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

230

CWP-28218-2023 (O & M)
Date of decision: 16.07.2026

NARENDER SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

HARYANA VIDYUT PRASARAN NIGAM (HVPN) LTD.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Vijay Deep Rathee, Advocate and
Mr. Mohan Sheoran, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Piyush Bansal, Advocate, for respondents No.1 to 3.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer made in the present petition is for directing the respondents to grant benefit of extension of two years/reinstate the petitioner in service upto the age of 60 years, being 40% physically disabled and to pay the conveyance allowance and arrears thereof alongwith interest.

2. Learned counsel submits that the first prayer has been rendered infructuous, however, the petitioner has not been granted conveyance allowance as per Rule 22 of the Haryana Civil Services (Allowances to Government Employees) Rules, 2016, despite the fact that he is having 40% locomotive disability, Annexure P-1, which was rounded upto 50% by the Armed Forces Tribunal, Chandigarh, for which reference is made to the order dated 11.03.2017, Annexure P-3. In this

regard, he would submit a representation by appending all the certificates including the above, to demonstrate his disability, to the respondents which may be directed to be decided in a time bound manner.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection to the limited prayer made.

4. In view of the above and without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents that in case the petitioner submits a representation within a period of 4 weeks, the same shall be decided expeditiously, taking note of the documents that will be placed, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to him and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

16.07.2026

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No