

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.8220 of 2026

DATE: 12.06.2026

BETWEEN:

Mukkera Govardhan.

.....petitioner/accused No.1

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana,
at Hyderabad & another.

.....Respondents/complainants

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed praying this Court to enlarge the petitioner on bail who is arrayed as accused No.1 in Crime No.1081 of 2025 before the Alwal Police Station, Malkajgiri Commissionerate, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 69, 115(2) r/w 3(5) of BNS.

2. The brief facts of the case are that on 21.10.2025 at 16:30 hours, the de facto complainant lodged a complaint at Alwal Police Station alleging that she and the petitioner/Accused No.1 were co-workers at Blinkit Warehouse, Masjeedpura, and developed a relationship. She alleged that the petitioner promised to marry her, continued physical intimacy, and later disputes arose when she discovered he was married. She further alleged that on 19.10.2025, the petitioner and his wife assaulted her and pressured her to terminate her pregnancy. Based on the complaint, Crime No.1081 of 2025 was registered under Sections 69, 115(2) r/w 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, and the petitioner was arrested on 21.05.2026.

3. Heard Sri K. Karunakar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri M. Ramachander Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent – State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated. The allegations arise out of a failed personal relationship between two consenting adults and do not disclose dishonest intention from inception, which is essential to attract Section 69 BNS. The

FIR was registered in October 2025, but the petitioner was arrested only in May 2026, despite being available at his known address. While advocating that the investigation is substantially complete, electronic evidence and witness statements have been collected, and custodial interrogation is no longer required, he prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing this Criminal Petition.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposes the bail application, contending that the allegations are serious in nature, involving deceit, physical assault, and pressure to terminate pregnancy. The victim has specifically stated that she was pregnant and assaulted, and therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and a perusal of the material available on record, it is noted that though the victim has stated she was pregnant, no medical record has been filed in support of the same. Therefore, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five

Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of III Additional Junior Civil Judge cum X Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Medchal Malkajgiri District, at Medchal.

- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet, whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C.(presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

Date: 12.06.2026
PT

K. SUJANA, J

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.8220 of 2026

Date: 12.06.2026

PT