

ARJUN
MACHHINDRA
KADAM

Digitally signed by
ARJUN
MACHHINDRA
KADAM
Date: 2026.08.25
18:07:40 +0530

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2820 OF 2026

Humble Plastics Pvt. Ltd. ... Petitioner
Earlier known as Walambia Plastics Pvt. Ltd.

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Anr. ... Respondents

Mr. Brijesh Pathak a/w. Mr. Dulraj Jain for the Petitioner.
Ms. Shruti D. Vyas, Addl GP a/w. Mr. Aditya R. Deolekar, AGP for the
Respondent-State.

CORAM : **M. S. KARNIK AND**
SANDESH D. PATIL, JJ.
DATED : **25th AUGUST, 2026.**

P.C. :

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.
2. Learned AGP for the respondents opposed the petition. It is submitted that the petitioner has an alternate efficacious statutory remedy and without exhausting the remedy, the petitioner has directly approached this Court.
3. In the facts of the present case and after having perused the relevant material and impugned order, we are satisfied that this is a fit case for entertaining the writ petition despite the availability of a statutory remedy of appeal.
4. A perusal of the impugned order clearly reveals that the observations

made on the basis of which the show cause notice is adjudicated is a mere reproduction of whatever was forming a part of the show cause notice. Though the petitioner had filed a detailed response, none of the contentions raised in reply to the show cause notice are adverted to or considered by the adjudicating authority. For this reason, we are inclined to set aside the order and remand the matter back to the adjudicating authority for a fresh consideration on merits and in accordance with law after considering the response of the petitioner.

5. It is made clear that we have not observed anything on merits and all contentions of the parties are kept open.

6. The petitioner to appear before the adjudicating authority on 3rd September, 2026 at 11.00 a.m. where-after the adjudicating authority may schedule the hearing as per its convenience. The adjudicating authority may then pass an order as expeditiously as possible after hearing the petitioner in accordance with law after complying with the principles of natural justice. The impugned order is set aside.

7. The petition is disposed of.

(SANDESH D. PATIL, J.)

(M. S. KARNIK, J.)