

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 26305/2026

[Arising out of impugned judgment and order dated 07-05-2026 in COMCA No. 27/2025 passed by the High Court of Andhra Pradesh at Amravati]

ROYAL CITY DEVELOPER PRIVATE LIMITED DIRECTOR Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

CARLOYN JOYCE TADAMALA Respondent(s)

(IA No. 223116/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

Date : 21-08-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. K Parameshwar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Rishabh Dheer, AOR
Ms. Aishwarya Singh, Adv.
Mr. N Sai Kaushal, Adv.
Mr. Prasad Hegde, Adv.
Mr. Adit Garg, Adv.
Ms. Vallari K.N, Adv.
Mr. Sarthak Bhardwaj, Adv.
Mr. Prateek Srivastava, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

Mr. S. Niranjan Reddy, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Palem Akhila Santoshi, AOR
Mr. Sahil Raveen, Adv.
Mr. Dhruv Kohli, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. The present Special Leave Petition calls in question the correctness of the judgment dated 7th May, 2026 passed by the High Court of Andhra Pradesh at Amaravati in Commercial Court Appeal No.27 of 2025. By

the said judgment, the High Court reversed the order of the Commercial Court and held that the Court at Vizag, Visakhapatnam would have jurisdiction to hear the Section 9 application after harmoniously construing Clauses 57 and 58 of the contract in question.

2. When the matter came up before this Court, we put it to the parties that a better course of action will be to have an Arbitrator appointed so that the parties could invoke Section 17 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 before the Arbitrator. Both the parties have favourably responded to the suggestion of the Court. The parties consented to the appointment of a sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the disputes between them arising out of the contract in question. The parties agree that the sole Arbitrator would adjudicate all disputes arising between the parties.

3. Considering the developments before us and with the consent of both the parties, we appoint Justice Ramesh Ranganathan, former Chief Justice of the Uttarakhand High Court and former Chairman of the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity to act as a sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the disputes between the parties.

4. The parties shall get in touch with the learned Arbitrator to carry the matter forward. The fees and other terms shall be fixed in consultation with the learned Arbitrator.

5. At this stage, learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that liberty may be given to the parties to treat the Section 9 pleadings as pleadings under Section 17 before the learned Arbitrator.

6. We grant liberty to the petitioner to make a prayer before the learned Arbitrator.

7. A copy of this order shall be forwarded to Justice Ramesh Ranganathan, former Chief Justice of the Uttarakhand High Court and former Chairman of the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity.

8. With the above observations, the Special Leave Petition is disposed of.

9. The order passed under Section 9 petition shall continue to operate till such time the Section 17 petition is decided.

10. Pending application shall also stand disposed of.

(ANITA MALHOTRA)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(MANOJ KUMAR)
COURT MASTER