



**HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL**

**Writ Petition Service Single No. 1539 of 2026**

**01 July, 2026**

Rajendra Singh

--Petitioner

**Versus**

State Of Uttarakhand & others

--Respondents

-----  
Presence:-

Mr. Shubhang Dobhal, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Chaudhary, learned Standing Counsel for the State/respondent no.1.

Mr. B.S. Parihar, learned counsel for respondent nos.2 & 3-Nagar Palika Parishad, Tehri Garhwal.

-----  
**Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J.**

Petitioner is a regular Driver with the respondent–Nagar Palika Parishad, Tehri Garhwal. Initially, petitioner was appointed as a daily-wage Driver with the respondent–Nagar Palika Parishad. Subsequently, vide order dated 10.01.2007, services of the petitioner were regularized. A copy of the said order has been filed as annexure no. 5 to the writ petition.

2. Present writ petition has been filed seeking a writ of mandamus commanding the respondents to grant the benefit of Grade Pay to the petitioner in terms of the Government Order dated 31.01.2014, along with consequential arrears. A copy of the said Government Order has been filed as annexure no.2 to the writ petition. It is submitted that the aforesaid Government Order was subsequently clarified vide Government Order dated 28.01.2019, whereby the benefits under the Government Order dated 31.01.2014 were made applicable to the Drivers working in the Nagar Palika Parishads.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite the applicability of the aforesaid Government Orders, the benefit thereof has not been extended to the petitioner. It is further submitted that the petitioner has submitted several representations before the authorities of the Nagar Palika Parishad, however, no decision has been taken thereon till date, compelling petitioner to invoke the writ jurisdiction of this Court.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that writ petition may be disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to submit a fresh representation before respondent no.2 within a stipulated period, and a direction may be issued to respondent no.2 to consider and decide the same strictly in accordance with the Government Orders referred herereinabove.

5. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent—Nagar Palika Parishad has no objection to the aforesaid prayer.

6. Accordingly, writ petition is finally disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to submit a fresh representation detailing his grievance before respondent no.2 within a period of ten days from today. If such representation is filed, respondent no.2 shall consider and decide the same, by a reasoned and speaking order, strictly in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the representation.

7. Pending application, if any, stands disposed of accordingly.

**(Pankaj Purohit, J.)**

01.07.2026