

ITEM NO.301

COURT NO.10

SECTION IV-C

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).14999/2020

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 02-12-2019 in MFA No.1716/2017 passed by the High Court of Karnataka at Bengaluru]

SHRIRAM GENERAL INSURANCE CO. LTD.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

NANDHITHA N.S. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

[PART HEARD BY HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH AND HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. MAHADEVAN]

(AS PER ROP DT. 29-05-2026 "THE NAME OF MR. AMAN PANWAR, AAG FOR THE STATE OF KARNATAKA BE REFLECTED IN THE CAUSE LIST IN THE COLUMN OF RESPONDENTS)

(IA No. 81309/2025 - EXTENSION OF TIME
 IA No. 20075/2025 - STAY APPLICATION)

Date : 15-07-2026 This matter was called for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. MAHADEVAN

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Meenakshi Midha, Adv.
 Ms. Sindhoora Ravindran, Adv.
 Ms. Yadavi Malhotra, Adv.
 Mr. Chander Shekhar Ashri, AoR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Kotla Harshavardhan, Adv.
 Ms. Sugandha Batra, AoR
 Ms. Rishbha Arora, Adv.
 Mr. Ritikesh, Adv.
 Mr. Vansh Bhatnagar, Adv.

Mr. H. Chandra Sekhar, AoR
 Mr. Jadhav Vishal, Adv.

Mr. Manish Kumar Gupta, AoR

Mr. Aman Panwar, AAG
Mr. Sanchit Garga, AoR

O R D E R

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

2. In compliance of our previous order dated 29.05.2026, Mr. Jayamadhava P., Special Deputy Commissioner, Bengaluru Urban District, Bengaluru, Karnataka has filed an affidavit indicating that steps have been taken under the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964.

3. From the said affidavit filed, it has been pointed out that residential land in the name of wife of respondent no.5 has been identified and first notice of recovery was issued on 06.07.2026 and today, the second notice was also issued and it will follow with attachment and thereafter, the forfeited property will be auctioned to recover the amount.

4. However, in view of there being no clarity on the valuation of the flat which tentatively, according to learned Additional Advocate General for the State of Karnataka, may not meet the demand of Rs.50,00,000/- (Rupees Fifty Lakhs), the authorities would also take action with regard to other movable/immovable properties, including bank account(s) etc.

5. At this juncture, we were informed that Mr. Jayamadhava P., Special Deputy Commissioner, Bengaluru Urban District, Bengaluru, Karnataka, who has affirmed the affidavit, has also joined the proceeding through video conferencing. We have interacted with him.

When asked, as to why, he had not initiated any action with regard to immovable properties, including the bank account(s) of the respondent no.5 and why such fact with regard to the bank account(s) is not disclosed in the affidavit, he had no answer and only expressed his apology. However, it was assured, both by him and the learned Additional Advocate General for the State of Karnataka, that appropriate and complete action shall be taken to ensure that the cost of Rs.50,00,000/- (Rupees Fifty Lakhs), as directed is recovered from the respondent no.5.

6. Having regard to the aforesaid, by way of last indulgence, the matter be listed on 31.07.2026 at 02:00 p.m.

7. It is made clear that whatever amount is recovered in excess of Rs.50,00,000/- (Rupees Fifty Lakhs) would not be paid or returned to the respondent no.5 and the Special Deputy Commissioner, Bengaluru Urban District, Bengaluru, Karnataka, shall abide by further directions to be issued on the next date by this Court. We make it clear that all efforts would be made to recover the maximum amount from the respondent no.5.

(SAPNA BISHT)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(ANJALI PANWAR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR